arXiv:1612.00749v1 [math.AC] 2 Dec 2016

The Monoid Structure on Homotopy Obstructions

Satya Mandal* and Bibekananda Mishra

University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, USA

mandal@ku.edu, bibekanandamishra@ku.edu

2 December 2016

1 Introduction

This article can be viewed as a Projective module version of [MM], on Homotopy Obstructions. The free module case of the same was dealt with in [MM], which also has some overlap with [AF].

Suppose A is a commutative noetherian ring, with $\dim A = d$ and P is projective A-module of rank n. Let $\mathcal{L}O(P)$ denote the set of all pairs (I, ω) such that I is an ideal and $\omega : P \twoheadrightarrow \frac{I}{I^2}$ is a surjective homomorphisms. Given such a pair $(I, \omega) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$, this article concerns obstructions for ω to lift to a surjective homomorphism $P \twoheadrightarrow I$. Given such a projective A-module P and a polynomial extension $A \hookrightarrow A[T]$, by substituting $T = 0, 1$, two set theoretic maps $\mathcal{L}O(P) \xleftarrow{T=0} \mathcal{L}O(P \otimes A[T]) \xrightarrow{T=1} \mathcal{L}O(P)$ are obtained. This leads to a chain equivalence relation on $\mathcal{L}O(P)$. The set of all equivalence classes would be denoted by $\pi_0(\mathcal{L}O(P))$ and referred to as the homotopy obstruction set. Around 1990, Madhav V. Nori laid out a set of ideas, on such homotopy obstructions and implicitly the set $\pi_0(\mathcal{L}O(P))$, to deal with the question of lift ability of surjective maps $\omega : P \twoheadrightarrow \frac{I}{I^2}$. This set of ideas

*Partially supported by a General Research Grant (no 2301857) from U. of Kansas

were referred to as the Homotopy Program in [MM] and a background was provided, some of which we recap.

This set of ideas were verbally communicated by Nori to some in a very informal and open ended manner. Because of the nature of these communications, not everyone heard the same thing and these ideas took the form of some folklores. The versions of this set of ideas available (or not) in the literature (e. g. [M3, MV, MS, BS1, BS2, BS3, BK]) may differ and have been up to the interpretations and adaptations by the recipients of these communications, much to their credit. Nori never classified these as conjectures or otherwise. However, some results followed too quickly [M3], to treat them as anything less than conjectures. Analogy to the Obstruction Theory for vector bundles ([St]) was the main backdrop behind this program and central to this Program was the Homotopy conjecture of Nori. The following is the statement of the Homotopy Conjecture from [M3], which would most likely be an adaptation by the respective author.

Conjecture 1.1 (Homotopy Conjecture)**.** *Suppose* $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ *is a smooth affine variety, with* $\dim X = d$*. Let* P *be a projective* A*-module of rank* r *and* $f_0 : P \twoheadrightarrow I$ *be a surjective homomorphism, onto an ideal* I *of* A*. Assume* $Y = V(I)$ *is smooth with* $\dim Y = d - r$*. Also suppose* $Z = V(J) \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(A[T]) = X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ *is a smooth subscheme, such that* Z *intersects* $X \times 0$ *transversally in* $Y \times 0$*. Now, suppose that* $\varphi : P[T] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{J}{J^2}$ *is a surjective map such that* $\varphi_{|T=0} = f_0 \otimes \frac{A}{I}$*. Then, there is a surjective map* $F : P[T] \twoheadrightarrow J$ *such that (i)* $F_{|T=0} = f_0$ *and (ii)* $F_{|Z} = \varphi$*.*

While the Conjecture 1.1 would fail without the regularity hypothesis [BS1, Example 6.4], existing results (see [M3, BS1, BK]) indicate that with suitable hypotheses the regularity and/or transversality hypotheses may be spared. However, the Conjecture 1.1, as stated, would even fail in some cases when A is regular (see [BS1, Example 3.15]), which are, conjecturally, the exceptions. The best result, up to date, on this Conjecture 1.1 is due to Bhatwadekar and Keshari [BK]. In this article, we mainly investigate various aspects of the Homotopy Program that concerns the structure of the obstruction set $\pi_0(\mathcal{L}O(P))$. Under some additional hypotheses, we prove that $\pi_0(\mathcal{L}O(P))$ has a natural structure of Monoid, which is a groups structure, when $P \cong Q \oplus A$. We give a definition of the Euler Class groups $E(P)$,

which coincides with the same in [BS2] when $P = A^n$ and, likewise that in [MY]. Then, we compare $\pi_0(\mathcal{L}O(P))$ with the Euler class group $E(P)$.

Now on, we assume that A contains a field k, with $1/2 \in k$. The results in this article are extension of the results in [MM, AF], where the case of free modules $P = A^n$ was dealt with. When $P = A^n$ is a free A-module, $\mathcal{L}O(P)$ was denoted by $\mathcal{L}O(A, n)$ in [MM]. In some literature [F, AF], driven by the desire to view the same in a functorial manner, one writes $Q_{2n}(A) = \{(s; f_1, \ldots, f_n; g_1, \ldots, g_n) \in A^{2n+1} : \sum_{i=1}^n f_i g_i + s(s-1) = 0\}$ and the homotopy obstruction set $\pi_0(Q_{2n})(A)$ was defined. It was established in [MM] that $\pi_0(\mathcal{L}O(A, n)) \cong \pi_0(Q_{2n})(A)$. By analogy or due to the same desire, for a projective A-module P, we consider

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{Q}(P) = \{(f, p, s) \in P^* \oplus P \oplus A : f(p) + s(s-1) = 0\}, \\ \widetilde{Q}'(P) = \{(f, p, s) \in P^* \oplus P \oplus A : f(p) + s^2 = 1\}. \end{cases}$$

and define homotopy obstruction sets $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$, $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}'(P)\right)$. Note that there is a bijection $\widetilde{Q}(P) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{Q}'(P)$. We establish (see Theorem 2.7) that there is a bijection $\pi_0(\mathcal{L}O(P)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$. Recall, there is a bijection $Q_{2n}(A) \cong Hom(\mathrm{Spec}(A), \mathrm{Spec}(\mathscr{A}_{2n+1}))$, where $\mathscr{A}_{2n+1} = \frac{k[X_1,\ldots,X_n;Y_1,\ldots,Y_n,Z]}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i + Z(Z-1)\right)}$. By analogy, define $\mathscr{A}(P) = \frac{S(P \oplus P^* \oplus A)}{(B-1)}$, where $S(P \oplus P^* \oplus A)$ denotes the symmetric algebra and $B \in S_2(P \oplus P^* \oplus A)$ is the bilinear form of the quadratic space $P^* \oplus P \oplus A$. In Section A, an interpretation of $\widetilde{Q}'(P)$, analogous to that of $Q'_{2n}(A)$, given by establishing a bijection $\widetilde{Q}'(P) \xrightarrow{\sim} Hom(\mathscr{A}(P), A)$.

Among the main results in this article, is the following reinterpretation (see (2.12)) of [BK, Theorem 4.13] on the Homotopy Conjecture 1.1, in terms of vanishing of obstruction classes.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose A is an essentially smooth ring over an infinite filed k, with $1/2 \in k$ and $\dim A = d$. Let P be a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$, with $2n \geq d + 3$. Let $\mathbf{v}_0 = (f_0, 0, 0) \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$ be a base point, as in (2.11). Suppose $(I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$, with $height(I) \geq n$. Then, ω_I lifts to a surjective map $P \twoheadrightarrow I$ if and only if $\zeta(I, \omega_I) = \zeta_0(\mathbf{v}_0)$.*

In Section 3, we develop some machinery regarding Homotopy. In particular, we establish the homotopy relation on $\widetilde{Q}(P)$ is, in deed, an equivalence relation (see (3.2), (3.3)), when A is a regular ring over a filed k, with $1/2 \in k$.

In Section 4, we consider the involution $\widetilde{Q}(P) \longrightarrow \widetilde{Q}(P)$ sending $(f, p, s) \mapsto (f, p, 1-s)$. For any commutative noetherian rings A, this involution induces an involution on $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$, which serves as a key tool, for the subsequent definition of the Monoid structure on $\pi_0\left(\mathcal{L}O(P)\right)) = \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right))$. In Section 5, we establish that, when A is a regular ring over a filed k, with $1/2 \in k$, with $2rank(P) \geq d+2$, $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right))$ has the structure of a natural Monoid (see Theorem refabelianGroup). There are two distinguished elements in $\widetilde{Q}(P)$, namely $\mathbf{e}_0 = \zeta_0(0,0,0), \mathbf{e}_1 = \zeta_0(0,0,1) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$. It turns out that, if $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1$ then the Monoid structure on $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$ becomes an abelian group, where $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1$ would be the identity. It is easy to see that, if $P = Q \oplus A$ for some projective A-module Q, then $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1$.

It had always remained conjectural , as a part of the Homotopy Program, that the Homotopy obstruction sets $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$ would have a group structure, when $rank(P)$ is hight enough. However, when $P = A^n$ is free, an obstruction group $E^n(P)$ was explicitly given by Nori, when $n = \dim A$. Subsequently, this definition was extended in [BS2]. This was further extended in [MY], when $P = L \oplus A^{n-1}$, where L is rank one projective A-module. These groups came to be known as Euler class groups. In Section 6, we extend these and give a natural definition of an Euler class groups $E(P)$, for any projective A-module P over a commutative noetherian ring A. It is helpful that certain superfluous aspect of the definition in [BS2] was pointed out in [MM]. in analogy the the results in [BS2, MY], we prove the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose A is a commutative noetherian ring with $\dim A = d$ and P is a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n$. Assume $2n \geq d+3$ and $P \cong Q \oplus A$. If $A = R[X]$ is a polynomial ring over a regular ring R, over an infinite field k, assume $2n \geq \dim R[T] + 2$. Let $(J, \omega_J) \in \mathcal{L}O^n(P)$ and $\overline{\varepsilon}(J, \omega_J) = 0 \in E(P)$. Then, ω_J lifts to a surjective map $P \twoheadrightarrow J$.*

When $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$ is a group, one can naturally define a group homomorphism $E(P) \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$. Consequently, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose k is a field, with $1/2 \in k$ and $\dim A = d$. Suppose $P = Q \oplus A$ is a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$ and $2n \geq d+2$. Then, there is a surjective group homomorphism $\rho : E(P) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$.*

In particular, if A is an essentially smooth ring over an infinite perfect field k and $2n \geq d+3$ then, the homomorphism $\rho : E(P) \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$ is an isomorphism. (We make further comments when $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$ fails to be a group or when P fails to have a unimodular element.)

2 Homotopy Obstructions

Before we proceed, we introduce some notations.

Notations 2.1. Throughout, k will denote a field, with $1/2 \in k$. Also A will denote a commutative ring with $\dim A = d$. For A-modules M, N, we denote $M[T] := M \otimes A[T]$ and $M^* = Hom(M, A)$. For $f \in Hom(M, N)$, denote $f[T] := f \otimes 1 \in Hom(M[T], N[T])$. Homomorphisms $f : M \longrightarrow \frac{I}{I^2}$ would be identified with the induced maps $\frac{M}{IM} \longrightarrow \frac{I}{I^2}$.

For surjective homomorphisms $\omega_1 : M \twoheadrightarrow \frac{I_1}{I_1^2}$, $\omega_2 : M \twoheadrightarrow \frac{I_2}{I_2^2}$, where I_1, I_2 be two ideals, with $I_1 + I_2 = A$, $\omega_1 \star \omega_2 : M \twoheadrightarrow \frac{I_1 I_2}{(I_1 I_2)^2}$ will denote the unique surjective map induced by ω_1, ω_2.

For a projective A-module P, $\mathbb{Q}(P) = (\mathbb{Q}(P), q)$ will denote the quadratic space $\mathbb{H}(P) \perp A$, where $\mathbb{H}(P) = P^* \oplus P$ is the hyperbolic space. So, $P^* \oplus P \oplus A$ id the underlying projective module of $\mathbb{Q}(P)$ and, for $(f, p, s) \in P^* \oplus P \oplus A$, $q(f, p, s) = f(p) + s^2$.

The category of schemes over Spec (A) will be donated by $\underline{Sch}_A$. The category of sets will be denoted by $\underline{Sets}$. Given a pre-sheaf $\mathcal{F} : \underline{Sch}_A \to \underline{Sets}$,

and a scheme $X \in \underline{Sch}_A$, define $\pi_0(\mathcal{F})(X)$ by the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) & \xrightarrow{T=0} & \mathcal{F}(X) \\ {\scriptstyle T=1}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(X) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0(\mathcal{F})(X) \end{array} \qquad \text{in } \underline{Sets} \tag{1}$$

For an affine scheme $X = \mathrm{Spec}\,(B) \in \underline{Sch}_A$ and a pre-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$, as above, we write $\mathcal{F}(A) := \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec}\,(B))$ and $\pi_0(\mathcal{F})(B) := \pi_0(\mathcal{F})(\mathrm{Spec}\,(B))$. (*For our purpose, we could restrict ourselves to affine schemes* $\mathrm{Spec}\,(A)$ *and its polynomial extensions* $\mathrm{Spec}\,(A[T])$.)

Given a projective module P over a noetherian commutative ring A, we define a homotopy obstruction set $\pi_0(\mathcal{L}O(P))$ and establish various descriptions of the same. These are analogous to similar obstruction sets available in [F, M2, MM], when $P = A^n$ is free.

Definition 2.2. Let A be a noetherian commutative ring, $X = \mathrm{Spec}\,(A)$ and P be a projective A-module. By a local P-orientation, we mean a pair (I, ω) where I is an ideal of A and $\omega : P \twoheadrightarrow \frac{I}{I^2}$ is a surjective homomorphism, which is identified with surjective homomorphism $\frac{P}{IP} \twoheadrightarrow \frac{I}{I^2}$, induced by ω. Denote

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}O(P) = \{(I, \omega) : (I, \omega) \text{ is a local } P \text{ orientation}\} \\ \mathcal{Q}(P) = \{(f, s) \in P^* \oplus A : s(1-s) \in f(P)\} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P) = \{(f, p, s) \in P^* \oplus P \oplus A : f(p) + s(s-1) = 0\} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}'(P) = \{(f, p, z) \in P^* \oplus P \oplus A : f(p) + z^2 = 1\} \end{cases}$$

There is a commutative diagram of set theoretic maps, as denoted:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P) & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathcal{Q}(P) \\ {\scriptstyle \eta}\downarrow & \swarrow_{\eta'} & \\ \mathcal{L}O(P) & & \end{array} \qquad \text{where, for } (f,p,s) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P), \quad \nu(f,p,s) = (f,s) \tag{2}$$

and $\eta'(f, s) = \eta(f, p, s) = (I, \omega)$, where $I = f(P) + As$ and $\omega : P \twoheadrightarrow \frac{I}{I^2}$ is the homomorphism is induced by f. These maps η, η', ν are surjective. There is

also a bijection

$$\kappa : \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}'(P) \quad \text{sending} \quad (f, p, s) \mapsto (2f, 2p, 2s - 1) \tag{3}$$

Now, when P is fixed projective A-module, and schemes $Y \in \underline{Sch}_A$,with $\pi : Y \to \mathrm{Spec}\,(A)$, the associations $Y \mapsto \mathcal{Q}(\pi^* P)$, $Y \mapsto \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(\pi^* P)$, $Y \mapsto \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}'(\pi^* P)$ is a pre-sheaves. By the pushout diagram (1), applied to these pre-sheaves defines, the Homotopy Obstructions sheaves

$$\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right), \quad \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}'(P)\right), \quad \pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}(P)\right).$$

For an ideal $I \subseteq A$, and a ring homomorphism $A \longrightarrow B$, $I \otimes B$ is not necessarily identifiable with an ideal of B. In particular, for ideals $I \subseteq A[T]$, $I \otimes \frac{A[T]}{(T)} = \frac{I}{TI}$ does not necessarily inject in to A. Therefore, the pre-sheaf behavior of $\mathcal{L}O(P)$ on $\underline{Sch}_A$, is to be understood with some care. However, we explicitly define the Homotopy Obstruction sheaf $\pi_0\left(\mathcal{L}O(P)\right)$, by the pushout diagrams, in $\underline{Sets}$, as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}O(P[T]) & \xrightarrow{T=0} & \mathcal{L}O(P) \\ {\scriptstyle T=1}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{L}O(P) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0\left(\mathcal{L}O(P)\right) \end{array} \qquad \text{in} \qquad \underline{Sets}.$$

In deed, $\pi_0\left(\mathcal{L}O(P)\right)$ was the Homotopy obstruction explicitly envisioned by Nori (around 1990).

We record, the following basic lemma

Lemma 2.3. *Use the notations, as above (2.2). The bijections κ, induces a bijection*

$$\overline{\kappa} : \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}'(P)\right).$$

Further, the maps η, ν, η' (in diagram 2) induce set theoretic maps, as denoted

in the commutative diagram od surjective maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\nu}} & \pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}(P)\right) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\eta}} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\eta}'} & \\ \pi_0\left(\mathcal{L}O(P)\right) & & \end{array}$$

Proof. It follows from the fact that κ, η, ν, η' are homotopy invariant. ∎

We proceed to prove that these maps are bijective. We fix notations, for $(f, p, s) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)$, its equivalence class in $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$ will be denoted by $[(f, p, s)]$ and similar notations will be used for $(f, s) \in \mathcal{Q}(P)$ and $(I, \omega) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$. Note, given $(I, \omega) \in \mathcal{LO}(P)$, ω lifts to a homomorphism f, as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{f} & I \\ & \searrow^{\omega} & \downarrow \\ & & \frac{I}{I^2} \end{array} \tag{4}$$

By Nakayama's lemma there is an element $s \in I$ such that $(1-s)I \subseteq f(P)$. Consequently, $(f, s) \in \mathcal{Q}(P)$ and $I = (f(P), s)$. This association would not be unique. Such a pair $(f, s) \in \mathcal{Q}(P)$ will be referred to as a lift of (I, ω) in $\mathcal{Q}(P)$. Now define an the Obstruction class map:

$$\chi : \mathcal{LO}(P) \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}(P)\right) \quad \text{by} \quad \chi\left(I, \omega\right) = [(f, s)] \in \pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}(P)\right) \tag{5}$$

where $(f, s) \in \mathcal{Q}(P)$ be any lift of (I, ω) in $\mathcal{Q}(P)$, (*as in diagram 4*) and $[(f, s)]$ is its equivalence class. In several lemmas, we establish that ζ is well defined.

Lemma 2.4. Use the notations as in (2.2). Let $(I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{LO}(P)$ and $(f, s) \in \mathcal{Q}(P)$ be a lift, as in diagram (4). Further, assume that $t(1-t) \in f(P)$, with $I = (f(P), s) = (f(P), t)$. Then

$$[(f, s)] = [(f, t)] \in \pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}(P)\right).$$

Proof. First note, $(1-s)I \subseteq f(P)$ and $(1-t)I \subseteq f(P)$. Write $I[T] = IA[T]$. So,

$$I[T] = f(P)A[T] + sA[T] = f(P)A[T] + tA[T].$$

Let $S(T) = t + T(s-t)$. Clearly, $S(T) \in I[T]$. Further,

$$\textbf{Claim}: \qquad (1 - S(T))I[T] \subseteq f(P)A[T]$$

We have $(1 - S(T))I[T] = (1 - S(T))[f(P)A[T] + sA[T]$. So, we only need to prove that $(1 - S(T))s \in f(P)A[T]$. But

$$(1-S(T))s = (1-t)s - T(s-t)s = (1-t)s + T[(s-1)s + (1-t)s] \in f(P)A[T]$$

So, the claim is established. Therefore, $(1 - S(T))S(T) \in\in f(P)A[T]$. Denote $f[T] := f \otimes 1_{A[T]}$. Then, $f[T] : P[T] \twoheadrightarrow f(P)A[T]$ is a surjection. Clearly, $(f[T], S(T)) \in \mathcal{Q}(P[T])$. Now, $(f[T], S(T))_{T=0} = (f, t)$ and $(f[T], S(T))_{T=1} = (f, s)$. The proof is complete. ∎

Lemma 2.5. *Use the notations as in (2.2). Suppose* $(I, \omega) \in \mathcal{LO}(P)$ *and and* f, g *be two lifts of* ω *as follows:*



$$\ni \quad I = (f(P), s) = (g(P), t) \text{ and } s(1-s) \in f(P),\ t(1-t) \in g(P).$$

Then

$$[(f, s)] = [(g, t)] \in \pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}(P)\right)$$

Proof. Note, $(g - f)(P) \subseteq I^2$. Let $F = f[T] + T(g[T] - f[T]) \in P[T]^*$. It is obvious that

$$I[T] = F(P[T]) + I[T]^2$$

For completeness, we give a proof.

$$\forall\ x \in I, x = (1-s)x + sx = f(p) + sx \quad \text{where} \quad p \in P\ sx \in I^x$$

So,

$$(\text{modulo } I[T]^2) \quad x \equiv f(p) \equiv F[T](p).$$

So,

$$\exists \quad S(T) \in I[X] \ \ni (1 - S(T))I[T] \subseteq F[T](P[T])$$

So, $(F[T], S(T)) \in \mathcal{Q}(P[T])$. Therefore,

$$[(f, S(0))] = [(F(0), S(0))] = [(F(1), S(1))] = [(g, S(1))]$$

Now, the proof is complete by (2.4). ∎

Theorem 2.6. *Use the notations as in (2.2). Let $(I, \omega) \in \mathcal{LO}(P)$. The $\chi(I, \omega)$ as defined in equation (5) is well defined.*

Proof. Follows from Lemmas 2.5. ∎

Now, we prove that $\overline{\nu}$ is a bijection, as follows.

Theorem 2.7. *Use the notations as in (2.2). The map*

$$\overline{\nu} : \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}(P)\right) \qquad \text{is a bijection.}$$

Proof. First, we define a map $\Psi_0 : \mathcal{Q}(P) \to \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$ as follows: Given $(f, s) \in \mathcal{Q}(P)$, $\exists\, p \in P \ \ni \ f(p) = s(1 - s)$. Define

$$\Psi_0(f, s) := [(f, p, s)] \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right).$$

We show that this association is a well defined map. To show this, suppose there is another $q \in P$ such that $f(q) = s(1 - s)$. Note $f(p - q) = 0$. So, $f[T](p + T(q - p)) = f(p) + Tf(q - p) = f(p) + 0 = s(1 - s)$. Therefore,

$$H(T) := (f[T], p + T(q - p), s)) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P[T])$$

and, hence

$$H(0) = (f, p, s) \sim H(1) = (f, q, s).$$

This establishes that Ψ_0 is well defined. Now, we show that Ψ_0 is homotopy invariant. To see this, suppose $H(T) = (F, S(T)) \in \mathcal{Q}(P[T])$. Then, $S(T)(1 - S(T)) = F(p(T))$, for some $p(T) \in P[T]$. Write $\widetilde{H} = (F, p(T), S(T)) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P[T])$. So,

$$\Psi_0(F(0), s(0)) = [\widetilde{H}(0)] = [\widetilde{H}(1)] = \Psi_0(F(1), S(1))$$

This establishes that Ψ_0 factors through a map

$$\Psi : \pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}(P)\right) \to \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right).$$

It is easy to check that $\overline{\nu}$ and Ψ are inverse of each other. The proof is complete. ∎

Lemma 2.8. Use the notations as in (2.2). Then, the obstruction class map $\chi : \mathcal{L}O(P) \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}(P)\right)$ (see Lemma 2.2) induces a well defined map $\overline{\chi} : \pi_0\left(\mathcal{L}O(P)\right) \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}(P)\right)$, which is a bijection.

In fact, $\overline{\chi}$ is the inverse of $\overline{\eta}'$. Consequently, $\overline{\eta}$, $\overline{\eta}'$, $\overline{\nu}$, $\overline{\kappa}$ are bijective maps.

Proof. Given a homotopy $H(T) \in \mathcal{L}O(P[T])$, it lifts to a homotopy $\widetilde{H}(T) = (F(T), S(T)) \in \mathcal{Q}(P[T])$. So, $\chi(H(0)) = [(F(0), S(0))] = [(F(1), S(1))] = \chi(H(1))$. So, χ is homotopy invariant, hence $\overline{\chi}$ is well defined.

Now, we have a commutative diagram of set theoretic maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right) & & \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\eta}} & \searrow^{\overline{\nu}} & \\ \pi_0\left(\mathcal{L}O(P)\right) & \xrightarrow[\overline{\chi}]{} & \pi_0\left(\mathcal{Q}(P)\right) \end{array}$$

Since η is an on to, so is $\overline{\eta}$. Since $\overline{\nu}$ is bijective, $\overline{\eta}$ is one to one and hence bijective. This also establishes that $\overline{\chi}$ is bijective. It is easy to check, $\overline{\chi}$ is the inverse of $\overline{\eta}'$. It is established that $\overline{\eta}$, $\overline{\nu}$ are bijective and so is $\overline{\eta}'$. We already established that $\overline{\kappa}$ is bijective. The proof is complete. ∎

Corollary 2.9. *Use the notations as in (2.2). With $P = A^r = \oplus Ae_i$ is free, then $Q_{2n}(A)) \cong \widetilde{Q}(P)$ is a bijection. This bijection induces a bijection $\pi_0\left(Q_{2n}\right)(A)) \cong \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$.*

Before we proceed, we introduce the following notions.

Notations 2.10. Suppose A is a commutative noetherian ring, with $\dim A = d$ and P is a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n$. Denote $\zeta = \overline{\nu}^{-1}\chi$:

$\mathcal{L}O(P) \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$ and $\zeta_0 : \widetilde{Q}(P) \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$. So, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{Q}(P) & & \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} & \searrow^{\zeta_0} & \\ \mathcal{L}O(P) & \xrightarrow[\zeta]{} & \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right) \end{array}$$

2.1 Homotopy Triviality

In this subsection, we establish that, for $(I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$, under some additional conditions, that the triviality of $\zeta(I, \omega_I)$ implies that ω_I lifts to a surjective map $P \twoheadrightarrow I$. First, we fix a base point, as follows.

Definition 2.11. Suppose A is a commutative noetherian ring, with $\dim A = d$ and P is a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n$. If $P = P_0 \oplus A$, let $f_0 \in P^*$ be the projection map $P_0 \oplus A \twoheadrightarrow A$. If P does not split, let $f_0 \in P^*$ be such that, $height(f_0(P)) = n$. Recall, existence of such a map f_0 is assured by basic element theory. We fix $\mathbf{v}_0 := (f_0, 0, 0) \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$ and treat is it as the **base point** of $\widetilde{Q}(P)$. We will see later that $\zeta_0(\mathbf{v}_0) = \zeta_0(0, 0, 0) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$ (see 5.12).

The following is the final result in this section.

Theorem 2.12. *Suppose A is an essentially smooth ring over an infinite perfect field k, with $1/2 \in k$ and $\dim A = d$. Let P be a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$, with $2n \geq d + 3$. Let $\mathbf{v}_0 = (f_0, 0, 0) \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$ be a base point, as in (2.11). Suppose $(I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$, with $height(I) \geq n$. Then, ω_I lifts to a surjective map $P \twoheadrightarrow I$ if and only if $\zeta(I, \omega_I) = \zeta_0(\mathbf{v}_0)$.*

Proof. Denote $\eta(\mathbf{v}_0) = (I_0, \omega_{I_0})$. Suppose ω_I lifts to a surjective map $f_1 : P \twoheadrightarrow I$. Let $f(T) = (1 - T)f_0 + Tf_1 \in P[T]^*$. Write $H(T) = (f(T), 0, 0) \in \widetilde{Q}(P[T])$. Then, $\zeta(I, \omega_I) = \zeta_0(H(1)) = \zeta_0(\mathbf{v}_0)$.

Conversely, suppose $\zeta(I, \omega_I) = \zeta_0(\mathbf{v}_0)$. There is an element $\mathbf{u} = (f_1, p_1, s_1) \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$ such that $\eta(\mathbf{u}) = (I, \omega_I)$. By Moving Lemma argument 2.14 (below),

we can assume $height(f_1(P)) \geq n$. Therefore $\zeta_0(\mathbf{u}) = \zeta_0(\mathbf{v}_0)$. By (3.2), there is a homotopy $H(T) = (f(T), p(T), S(T)) \in \widetilde{Q}(P[T])$ such that $H(0) = \mathbf{v}_0$ and $H(1) = \mathbf{u}$. Write $\eta(H(T)) = (J, \Omega)$. We would apply [BK, Theorem 4.13], for which we would need $height(J) \geq n$. So, we modify $H(T)$, as follows. Denote $Z(T) = 1 - S(T)$. Write $\mathscr{P} = \{\wp \in \text{Spec}\,(A[T]) : height(\wp) \leq n-1, T(1-T)Z(T) \notin \wp\}$. Define a generalized dimension $\delta : \mathscr{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $\delta(\wp) = height(\wp)$ for $\wp \in \mathscr{P}$. Then, $\forall\ \wp \in \mathscr{P}$, we have $\delta(\wp) \leq n-1$. Now, $(f(T), T(1-T)Z(T)^2) \in P[T]^* \oplus A[T]$ is basic on $\mathscr{P}$. So, there is an element $g(T) \in P[T]^*$ such that $F(T) = f(T) + T(1-T)Z(T)^2 g(T)$ is basic on $\mathscr{P}$. It follows, $F(0) = f(0)$ and $F(1) = f(1)$.

We have $Z(T)(1 - Z(T)) = (1 - s(T))s(T) =$

$$f(T)(p(T)) = F(T)(p(T)) - T(1-T)Z(T)^2 g(T)(p(T))$$

Write $\mathcal{J} = (f(T)(P[T]), Z(T))$. Then $\mathcal{J} = (F(T)(P[T]), Z(T))$. Write $M = \frac{\mathcal{J}}{F(T)(P[T])}$. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_m$ be a set of generators of P. So, $\mathcal{J}$ is generated by the images of $f(T)(p_1), \ldots, f(T)(p_m), Z(T)$. Use "overline" to denoted images in M and repeat the proof of Nakayama's Lemma, as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{f(T)(p_1)} \\ \overline{f(T)(p_2)} \\ \cdots \\ \overline{f(T)(p_m)} \\ \overline{Z(T)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -T(1-T)Z(T)g(T)(p_1) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -T(1-T)Z(T)g(T)(p_2) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -T(1-T)Z(T)g(T)(p_m) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & Z(T) - T(1-T)Z(T)g(T)(p(T)) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{f(T)(p_1)} \\ \overline{f(T)(p_2)} \\ \cdots \\ \overline{f(T)(p_m)} \\ \overline{Z(T)} \end{pmatrix}$$

So,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & T(1-T)Z(T)g(T)(p_1) \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & T(1-T)Z(T)g(T)(p_2) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & T(1-T)Z(T)g(T)(p_m) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 - Z(T) + T(1-T)Z(T)g(T)(p(T)) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{f(T)(p_1)} \\ \overline{f(T)(p_2)} \\ \cdots \\ \overline{f(T)(p_m)} \\ \overline{Z(T)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

With $Z'(T) = Z(T) - T(1-T)Z(T)g(T)(p(T))$, the determinant of this matrix is $1 - Z'(T)$. It follows, $(1 - Z'(T))\mathcal{J} \subseteq F(T)(P[T])$. So, $(1 - Z'(T))Z'(T) = F(T)(q(T))$ for some $q(T) \in P[T]$. Note, $Z'(0) = Z(0)$ and $Z'(1) = Z(1)$. Therefore, $(F(T), q(T), Z'(T)) \in \widetilde{Q}(P[T])$. Also, with $S'(T) = 1 - Z'(T)$, $(F(T), q(T), S'(T)) \in \widetilde{Q}(P[T])$. We have

$S'(T)(1-S'(T)) = (1-Z'(T))Z'(T) = F(T)(q(T))$
$S'(0) = 1 - Z'(0) = 1 - Z(0) = S(0) = 0$ and
$S'(1)) = 1 - Z'(1) = 1 - Z(1) = S(1)$.
Write $\mathcal{H}(T) = (F(T), q(T), S'(T))$ and $\eta(\mathcal{H}(T)) = (J', \Omega')$. It is clear $\mathcal{H}(0) = (f_0, q(0), 0)$, $\mathcal{H}(1) = (f_1, q(1), S(1))$. So, $\eta(\mathcal{H}(0)) = \eta(\mathbf{v}_0)$ and $\eta(\mathcal{H}(1)) = \eta(\mathbf{u}) = (I, \omega_I)$.

We have $J' = (F(T)(P[T]), S'(T))$. We claim that $height(J') \geq n$. To see this, let $J' \subseteq \wp \in \operatorname{Spec}(A[T])$. If $T \in \wp$, then $I_0 \subseteq \wp$ and hence $height(\wp) \geq n$. Likewise, if $1 - T \in \wp$, then $I \subseteq \wp$ and hence $height(\wp) \geq n$. So, we assume $T(1-T) \notin \wp$. If $Z(T) \in \wp$, then $\mathcal{J} = (F(T)(P[T]), Z'(T)) = (F(T)(P[T]), Z(T)) \subseteq \wp$, which is impossible because $S'(T) \in \wp$. So, $T(1-T)Z(T) \notin \wp$. Since F is basic on $\mathscr{P}$, $height(\wp) \geq n$. This establishes the claim.

So, $\mathcal{H}(T) = (F(T), q(T), S'(T)) \in \widetilde{Q}(P[T])$ is such that $\eta(\mathcal{H}(0)) = (I_0, \omega_{I_0})$, $\eta(\mathcal{H}(1)) = (I, \omega_I)$ and with $\eta(\mathcal{H}(T)) = (J', \Omega')$, we have $height(J') \geq n$. If $T \in \wp \in Ass\left(\frac{A[T]}{J'}\right)$ then $(J'(0), T) = (I_0, T) \subseteq \wp$. Then, $height(\wp) \geq n+1$. This is impossible because $A[T]$ is regular (Cohen-Macaulay) and J' is local complete intersection ideal. Hence,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{A[T]}{TJ'} & \xrightarrow{T=0} & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \frac{A[T]}{J'} & \longrightarrow & \frac{A}{J'(0)} \end{array}$$

is a patching diagram (see (2.13) below). So, the map $\Omega' : P[T] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{J'}{(J')^2}$ and $f_0 : P \twoheadrightarrow I_0$ combines to give a surjective maps $\phi : P[T] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{J'}{T(J')^2}$. Now, by [BK, Theorem 4.13], there is a surjective homomorphism $\varphi : P[T] \twoheadrightarrow J'$ such that $\varphi(0) = f_0$ and $\varphi \otimes \frac{A[T]}{J'} = \Omega'$. Now, it follows that $\varphi(1)$ is a lift of ω_I. This completes the proof. ∎

We used the following lemma above, while it needs a proof. The standard references for Patching diagrams are [Mil, R, O]. We will be specific in the following statement, because the literature does not seem complete regarding definitions Patching diagrams of modules that are not projective.

Lemma 2.13. *Let R be a noetherian commutative ring and $A = R[T]$. Take $J = AT$ and I is locally complete intersection ideal of height r and $T : \frac{A}{I} \hookrightarrow \frac{A}{I}$*

is injective (i. e. $T \notin \wp \in Ass\left(\frac{A}{I}\right)$). Then,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{I}{TI^2} & \longrightarrow & \frac{I}{TI} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \frac{I}{I^2} & \longrightarrow & \frac{I}{I^2+TI} \end{array}$$

is a Patching diagram, in the sense that it is a Cartesian square. Further,

1. $\frac{I}{TI} \xrightarrow{\sim} I(0)$.
2. $\frac{I}{I^2+TI} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{I(0)}{I(0)^2}$.

Proof. The patching diagram follows from (**??**), because $I^2 \cap (TI) = TI^2$.

To see this, first we have $TI^2 \subseteq I^2 \cap (TI)$. Suppose $f \in I^2 \cap (TI)$. Then, $f = Tg$ with $g \in I$. Now, consider the map

$$T : \frac{I}{I^2} \longrightarrow \frac{I}{I^2}$$

Since $\frac{I}{I^2}$ projective and $T : \frac{A}{I} \hookrightarrow \frac{A}{I}$ is injective, T is also injective on $\frac{I}{I^2}$. So, $g \in I^2$. So, $f = Tg \in TI^2$.

Now, we prove $\frac{I}{TI} \xrightarrow{\sim} I(0)$. Obviously, the map is on to. Suppose $f(T) \in I$ and $f(0) = 0$.Then, $f = Tg$. Since T is non zero divisor on $\frac{A}{I}$, $g \in I$. SO, $f \in TI$.

Finally, we prove $\frac{I}{I^2+TI} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{I(0)}{I(0)^2}$. Again, the map is on to. Suppose $f(T) \in I$ and $f(0) \in I(0)^2$. Then, $f(0) = \sum f_i(0)g_i(0)$. Then, $f - \sum f_i g_i \in (T) \cap I = TI$ (by the above, if we like). So, $f \in I^2 + TI$.

■

We close this section with the following "moving lemma argument", which is fairly standard. A number of variations of the same (2.14) would be among the frequently used tools for the rest of our discussions.

Lemma 2.14 (Moving Lemma)**.** *Suppose A is a commutative noetherian ring with $\dim A = d$ and P is a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$. Assume $2n \geq d+1$. Let $K \subseteq A$ be an ideal with $height(K) \geq n$ and $(I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$.*

Then, there is an element $\mathbf{v} = (f, p, s) \in \widetilde{Q}(P))$ *such that* $\eta(\mathbf{v}) = (I, \omega_I)$. *Further, with* $J = f(P) + A(1-s)$, *we have* $height(J) \geq n$ *and* $J + K = A$.

Proof. Let $f_0 : P \twoheadrightarrow I$ be any lift of ω_I. Then, $I = f_0(P) + I^2$. By Nakayama's Lemma, there is an element $t \in I$, such that $(1-t)I \subseteq f_0(P)$. Therefore, $t(1-t) = f_0(p_0)$ for some $p_0 \in P$. (*Readers are referred to [M1] regarding generalities on Basic Element Theory and generalized dimension functions.*) Write

$$\mathscr{P} = \{\wp \in \operatorname{Spec}(A) : t \notin \wp, \text{ and either } K \subseteq \wp \text{ or } height(\wp) \leq n-1\}$$

There is a generalized dimension function (see [M1]) $\delta : \mathscr{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$, such that $\delta(\wp) \leq n-1 \ \forall \ \wp \in \mathscr{P}$. Now $(f_0, t^2) \in P^* \oplus A$ is basic on $\mathscr{P}$. So, there is an element $g \in P^*$ such that $f := f_0 + t^2 g$ is basic on $\mathscr{P}$. It follows, $f(P) + At = f_0(P) + At = I$ and $I = f(P) + I^2$. By Nakayama's Lemma, there is an element $s \in I$, such that $(1-s)I \subseteq f(P)$ and hence $f(p) = s(1-s)$, for some $s \in I$. Hence, $I = (f(P), s)$. Now, write $J = f(P) + A(1-s)$. For $J \subseteq \wp \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, $s \notin \wp$ and hence $t \notin \wp$. Since, f is basic on $\mathscr{P}$, $height(\wp) \geq n$. This establishes, $height(J) \geq n$.

Now suppose $J + K \subseteq \wp \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$. By the same argument above, $t \notin \wp$. Hence, $\wp \in \mathscr{P}$. This is Impossible, because f is basic on $\mathscr{P}$. So, $J + K = A$. Now, $\mathbf{v} = (f, p, s) \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$, satisfies the requirement. ∎

3 Homotopy

In this section, we prove the following key homotopy theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* A *be a regular ring over a field* k, *with* $1/2 \in k$. *Let* P *be a projective* A*-module, with* $rank(P) = n \geq 2$, *and* $(\mathbb{Q}(P), q) = \mathbb{H}(P) \perp A$ (see 2.1). *Recall* $\widetilde{Q}'(P) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(P) = P^* \oplus P \oplus A$. *Suppose* $H(T) \in \widetilde{Q}'(P[T])$. *Then, there is an orthogonal matrix* $\sigma(T) \in O(\mathbb{Q}(P), q)$, *such that*

$$H(T) = \sigma(T)(H(0)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sigma(0) = 1.$$

Proof. Let $H(T) = (f(T), p(T), s(T) \in \widetilde{Q}'(P[T])$ be a homotopy, as above. So, $H(0) \in \widetilde{Q}'(P)$. Then,

$$A[T]H(T) \cong A[T]H(0) \cong (A[T], q_0) \qquad \text{are isometric,}$$

where q_0 is the trivial quadratic space of rank one. The bilinear inner product in $\mathbb{Q}(P)$ will be denoted $\langle -, - \rangle$. We have the following split exact sequences of quadratic spaces:

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(P[T]) \xrightarrow{\langle H(T), - \rangle} A[T] \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow K_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(P) \xrightarrow[\langle H(0), - \rangle]{} A \longrightarrow 0$$

Therefore, $K = (A[T]H(T))^{\perp}$, $K_0 = (AH(0))^{\perp}$ are orthogonal complements. Write $\overline{K} := K \otimes \frac{A[T]}{(T)}$. Note, for $\wp \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, $\mathbb{Q}(P)_{\wp} \cong (A, q_{2n+1})$, where $q_{2n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i + Z^2$. So, $\overline{K}_{\wp} \cong (K_0)_{\wp}$ are isometric. It is standard (see [MM, Lemma 4.1]), that $(K_0)_{\wp} = (A_{\wp} H(0))^{\perp} \cong (A, q_{2n})_{\wp}$ where $q_{2n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i$. In other words, $\overline{K}$ is locally trivial. By the the Quadratic version [MM, Theorem 3.4] of Lindel's theorem [L], there is an isometry $\tau : K \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{K} \otimes A[T]$. Further, it follows $\overline{K} = (RH(0))^{\perp} \cong K_0$. Therefore, there is an isometry $\sigma_0 : \overline{K} \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0$, which extends to an isometry $\sigma_0 \otimes 1 : \overline{K} \otimes A[T] \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0 \otimes A[T]$. Then, $\sigma_1 := (\sigma_0 \otimes 1)\tau : K \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0 \otimes A[T]$ is an isometry. Finally, note

$$(A[T]H(T), q_{|A[T]H(T)}) \cong (A[T], q_0) \cong (A[T]H(0), q_{|A[T]H(0)}).$$

Now, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}(P[T]) & \xrightarrow{\langle H(T), - \rangle} & A[T] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma(T)} & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_0 \otimes A[T] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}(P[T]) & \xrightarrow[\langle H(0), - \rangle]{} & A[T] & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{6}$$

of quadratic spaces. In this diagram, the horizontal lines are split exact sequences of quadratic spaces. Hence, there is an isometry $\sigma(T) \in O(A[T], q)$, such that the diagram commutes. That means, for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Q}(P[T])$, we have $\langle H(T), \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle H(0), \sigma(T)\mathbf{v} \rangle$. Replacing $\sigma(T)$ by $\sigma(T)^{-1}$, we have $\sigma(T)H(0) =$

$H(T)$. So, we have $\sigma(0)H(0) = H(0)$. Again, by replacing $\sigma(T)$ by $\sigma(T)\sigma(0)^{-1}$, we have $\sigma(0) = 1$. The proof is complete. ∎

The following Corollary would be of some use for our future discussions.

Corollary 3.2. *Let A be a regular ring over a field k, with $1/2 \in k$. Let P be a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n \geq 2$, and $(\mathbb{Q}(P), q) = \mathbb{H}(P) \perp A$. Let $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \widetilde{Q}'(P)$ such that $[\mathbf{u}] = [\mathbf{v}] \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}'(P)\right)$. Then, there is a homotopy $H(T) \in \widetilde{Q}'(P[T])$ such that $H(0) = \mathbf{u}$ and $H(1) = \mathbf{v}$. Equivalently, for $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \widetilde{Q}'(P)$ if $\zeta_0(\mathbf{u}) = \zeta_0(\mathbf{v}) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$, then there is a homotopy $H(T) \in \widetilde{Q}(A[T])$ such that $H(0) = \mathbf{u}$ and $H(1) = \mathbf{v}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in Q'_{2n}(A)$ such that $[\mathbf{u}] = [\mathbf{v}] \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}'(P)\right)$. Then, there is a sequence of homotopies $H_1(T), \ldots, H_m(T) \in \widetilde{Q}'(P[T])$ such that $\mathbf{u} =: \mathbf{u}_0 := H_1(0)$, $\mathbf{u}_m := H_m(1) = \mathbf{v}$ and $\forall\ i = 1, \ldots, m-1$, we have $\mathbf{u}_i := H_i(1) = H_{i+1}(0)$. By Theorem 3.1, for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ there are orthogonal matrices $\sigma_i(T) \in O(\mathbb{H}(P[T]), q)$ such that $\sigma_i(0) = 1$ and $H_i(T) = \sigma_i(T)H_i(0) = \sigma_i(T)\mathbf{u}_{i-1}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{u}_i = H_i(1) = \sigma_i(1)\mathbf{u}_{i-1}$.

Write $H(T) = \sigma_m(T) \cdots \sigma_1(T)\mathbf{u}_0$. Then, $H(T) \in Q'_{2n}(A[T])$ and $H(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$ and $H(1) = \mathbf{u}_m$. This establishes first part of the statement on $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}'(P)\right)$. The latter assertion on $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$ follows from the former, by the bijective correspondences $\widetilde{Q}'(P) \longleftrightarrow \widetilde{Q}(P)$ and $\widetilde{Q}'(P[T]) \longleftrightarrow \widetilde{Q}(P[T])$. This completes the proof. ∎

Remark 3.3. Another way to state (3.2) would be to say that the homotopy relation on $\widetilde{Q}(P)$ is actually an equivalence relation.

In a slightly more formal language, the above is summarized as follows.

Theorem 3.4. *Let A be a regular ring over a field k, with $1/2 \in k$. Let P be a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n \geq 2$, and $(\mathbb{Q}(P), q) = \mathbb{H}(P) \perp A$. For, $\sigma(T) \in O(\mathbb{Q}(P), q)$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \widetilde{Q}'(P)$, define the* (left) *action $\sigma(T)\mathbf{u} := \sigma(1)\mathbf{u} \in \widetilde{Q}'(P)$. Denote $O(\mathbb{Q}(P), q, T) = \{\sigma(T) \in O(\mathbb{Q}(P), q) : \sigma(0) = 1\}$.*

Then, the map

$$\frac{\widetilde{Q}'(P)}{O\left(\mathbb{Q}(P),q,T\right)} \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}'(P)\right) \quad \text{is a bijection.}$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of (3.2). ∎

4 The Involution

In analogy to the Involution on on $Q_{2n}(A)$, defined in [MM], we introduce an involution on $\widetilde{Q}(P)$.

Definition 4.1. *Suppose A is a commutative ring and P is a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n$. For $(f,p,s) \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$, define $\Gamma(f,p,s) = (f,p,1-s)$. This association, $\mathbf{v} \mapsto \Gamma(\mathbf{v})$, establishes a bijective correspondence*

$$\Gamma : \widetilde{Q}(P) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{Q}(P), \quad \text{such that} \quad \Gamma^2 = 1.$$

We say Γ is an involution on on $\widetilde{Q}(P)$, which will be a key instrument in the subsequent discussions. (This notation Γ will be among the standard notations throughout this article.)

We record the following obvious lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose A is a commutative ring and P is a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n$ and $\Gamma : \widetilde{Q}(P) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{Q}(P)$ is the involution. Let $\mathbf{v} = (f,p,s) \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$ and denote $\eta(\mathbf{v}) = (I,\omega_I)$ and $\eta(\Gamma(\mathbf{v})) = (J,\omega_J)$. Then,*

1. *$I \cap J = f(P)$.*
2. *For $H(T) \in \widetilde{Q}(P[T])$, we have $\Gamma(H(T))_{T=t} = \Gamma(H(t))$.*
3. *Therefore, $\forall\ \mathbf{v},\mathbf{w} \in Q_{2n}(S) \qquad \zeta_0(\mathbf{v}) = \zeta_0(\mathbf{w}) \Longleftrightarrow \zeta_0(\Gamma(\mathbf{v})) = \zeta_0(\Gamma(\mathbf{w}))$.*

We also record the following obvious observation.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose A is a commutative noetherian ring with $\dim A = d$ and $P = P_0 \oplus A$ is a projecttive A-module. Denote $p_0 = (0,1) \in P_0 \oplus A$ and $f_0 : P \twoheadrightarrow A$ be the projection map $P_0 \oplus A \longrightarrow A$. Let $\mathbf{u}_0 = (f_0, 0, 0) \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$ be the base point and $\mathbf{u_1} = (0,0,1)$. Then, $\zeta_0(\mathbf{u}_0) = \zeta_0(\mathbf{u}_1) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$*

Proof. Write $H(T) = ((1-T)f_0, Tp_0, T)$. Then, $(1-T)f_0(Tp_0) = T(1-T)$. So, $H(T) \in \widetilde{Q}(P[T])$. We have $H(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$ and $H(1) = \mathbf{u}_1$. The proof is complete. ∎

In deed, Γ factors through an involution on $\pi_0(Q_{2n})(A)$, as follows.

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose A is a commutative ring and P is a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n$. Then, the involution $\Gamma : \widetilde{Q}(P) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{Q}(P)$ induces a bijective map $\widetilde{\Gamma} : \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$, such that $\widetilde{\Gamma}^2 = 1$ and $\zeta_0\Gamma = \widetilde{\Gamma}\zeta_0$. We say $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is an involution.* (The notation $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ will also be among our standard notations throughout this article.)

Proof. First, consider the map $\zeta_0\Gamma : \widetilde{Q}(P) \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$. For, $H(T) \in \widetilde{Q}(P[T])$, we have $\zeta_0\Gamma(H(0)) = \zeta_0\Gamma(H(1))$. Therefore, $\zeta_0\Gamma$ is homotopy invariant. Hence, it induces the a well defined map $\widetilde{\Gamma} : \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$. Clearly, $\widetilde{\Gamma}^2 = 1$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is a bijection. The proof is complete. ∎

The following is a way to compute the involution.

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose A is a commutative ring and P is a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n$. Suppose $(I, \omega) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$. For any $\mathbf{v} = (f, p, s) \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$ with $\eta(\mathbf{v}) = (I, \omega)$, write $\eta(\Gamma(\mathbf{v})) = (J, \omega_J)$. Then,*

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}(\zeta(I,\omega)) = \zeta(J,\omega_J) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right).$$

Proof. Obvious. ∎

The following is another version of the Moving Lemma 2.14.

Lemma 4.6 (Moving Representation)**.** *Suppose A is a commutative ring, with $\dim A = d$. Let P be a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n$, with $2n \geq d+1$. $x \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$ and let $K \subseteq A$ be an ideal with $height(K) \geq n$ and . Then, there is a local P-orientation $(J, \omega_J) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$ such that $x = \zeta(J, \omega_J)$, $height(J) \geq n$ and $J + K = A$.*

Proof. First, let $x = \zeta(I, \omega_I)$. First, $\eta(\mathbf{u}) = (I, \omega_I)$ for some $\mathbf{u} \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$. Denote $(I_0, \omega_{I_0}) := \eta(\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))$. Then, $\tilde{\Gamma}(x) = \zeta(I_0, \omega_{I_0})$.

Now, we apply Moving Lemma 2.14, to (I_0, ω_{I_0}) and K. There is $\mathbf{v} \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$, such that $\eta(\mathbf{v}) = (I_0, \omega_{I_0})$, and with $\eta(\Gamma(\mathbf{v})) = (J, \omega_J)$, we have $height(J) \geq n$ and $J + K = A$. Now, $x = \tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{\Gamma}(x)) = \tilde{\Gamma}(\zeta(I_0, \omega_{I_0})) = \zeta(J, \omega_J)$. The proof is complete. ∎

5 The Monoid Structure on $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$

In this section, we establish a group structure on the homotopy obstruction set $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$, when $2rank(P) \geq \dim A + 2$ and A is a regular ring over a field k, with $1/2 \in k$. We start with the following basic ingredient of the group structure.

Definition 5.1. *Let A be a commutative noetherian ring and P be a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n \geq 2$. Let $(I, \omega_I), (J, \omega_J) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$ be such that $I + J = A$. Let $\omega := \omega_I \star \omega_J : P \twoheadrightarrow \frac{IJ}{(IJ)^2}$ be the unique surjective map induced by ω_I, ω_J. We define a pseudo-sum*

$$(I, \omega_I)\hat{+}(J, \omega_J) := \zeta(IJ, \omega) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right).$$

Also, for $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$ with $\eta(\mathbf{u}) = (I, \omega_I)$ and $\eta(\mathbf{v}) = (J, \omega_J)$, if $I + J = A$, define pseudo-sum

$$\mathbf{u}\hat{+}\mathbf{v} := \eta(\mathbf{u})\hat{+}\eta(\mathbf{v}) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right).$$

The rest of this section is devoted to establish that this pseudo sum respects homotopy and extends to $\pi_0(Q_{2n})(A)$, when A is a regular ring over a field k, with $1/2 \in k$.

Now we define a pseudo-difference in the spirit of (5.1).

Definition 5.2. *Let A be a commutative noetherian ring and P be a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n \geq 2$. Suppose $(K, \omega_K), (I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$. Assume that there is $\mathbf{u} = (f, p, s) \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$ such that $\eta(\mathbf{u}) = (I, \omega_I)$ and with $\eta(\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) = (J, \omega_J)$, $J + K = A$. Therefore,*

$$f(P) = I \cap J \quad \ni \quad I + J = K + J = A.$$

Define the pseudo-difference

$$(K, \omega_K)\hat{-}(I, \omega_I) := (K, \omega_K)\hat{+}(J, \omega_J) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right).$$

We remark: (1) A priori, the pseudo-difference depends on the choice of J. There is no conditions on $height(I)$, $height(J)$, $height(K)$; nor did we assume $I + K = A$. (2) By Moving Lemma 2.14, such choices $\mathbf{u} = (f, p, s) \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$ would be available if $2n \geq \dim A + 1$ and $height(K) \geq n$.

Subsequently, under additional hypotheses, we would first prove that the definition (5.2) of pseudo difference does not depend of the choice of (J, ω_J). Then, we prove that the pseudo difference is homotopy invariant with respect to either coordinate. A key to such proofs would be the following lemma that combines (*i.e.* *"adds"*) homotopies.

Lemma 5.3. *Let A be a commutative noetherian ring and P be a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n$, with $2n \geq d + 2$. Consider a homotopy*

$$H(T) = (f(T), p(T), Z(T)) \in \widetilde{Q}(P[T]).$$

Write $\eta(H(0)) = (K_0, \omega_{K_0})$ and $\eta(H(1)) = (K_1, \omega_{K_1})$. Further suppose $(J, \omega_J) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$ such that $K_0 + J = K_1 + J = A$ and $height(J) \geq n$. Then, there is a homotopy $\mathcal{H}(T) \in \widetilde{Q}(P[T])$ such that $\eta(\mathcal{H}(0)) = (K_0 J, \omega_{K_0 J})$ and $\eta(\mathcal{H}(1)) = (K_1 J, \omega_{K_1 J})$, where, for $i = 0, 1$ $\omega_{K_i J} := \omega_{K_i} \star \omega_J : A^n \twoheadrightarrow \frac{K_i J}{(K_i J)^2}$.

Proof. We will write $f = f(T)$, $p = p(T)$ and $Z = Z(T)$. Dnote $Y = 1 - Z$ and $\eta(\Gamma(H(T)) = (\mathbb{J}, \omega_{\mathbb{J}})$. Then, $\mathbb{J} = (f(P[T]), Y)$. Write

$$\mathscr{P} = \{\wp \in \text{Spec}\,(A[T] : YT(1-T) \notin \wp, J \subseteq \wp\}.$$

There is a generalized dimension function $\delta : \mathscr{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall\ \wp \in \mathscr{P}$, $\delta(\wp) \leq \dim\left(\frac{A[T]}{JA[T]}\right) \leq d + 1 - height(J) \leq d + 1 - n \leq n - 1$. Further, $(f, Y^2T(1-T))$ is a basic element in $P[T]^* \oplus A[T]$, on $\mathscr{P}$. Therefore, there is an element $\lambda := \lambda(T) \in P[T]^*$ such that

$$f' = f + Y^2T(1-T)\lambda \text{ is basic on } \mathscr{P}. \quad \text{So,} \quad f'(0) = f(0),\ f'(1) = f(1).$$

We have $\mathbb{J} = (f(P[T]), Y) = (f'(P[T]), Y)$. Further,

$$Z(1-Z) = Y(1-Y) = f(p) = f'(p) - Y^2T(1-T)\lambda(p).$$

So,

$$Y = f'(p) - Y^2T(1-T)\lambda(p) + Y^2$$

Write $M = \frac{\mathbb{J}}{f'(P[T])}$. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_m$ be a set of generators of P. Use "overline" to indicate images in M. We intend to repeat the proof of Nakayama's Lemma and we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{f(p_1)} \\ \overline{f(p_2)} \\ \cdots \\ \overline{f(p_m)} \\ \overline{Y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\lambda(p_1)YT(1-T) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\lambda(p_2)YT(1-T) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\lambda(p_m)YT(1-T) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Y - \lambda(p)YT(1-T) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{f(p_1)} \\ \overline{f(p_2)} \\ \cdots \\ \overline{f(p_m)} \\ \overline{Y} \end{pmatrix} \Longrightarrow$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda(p_1)YT(1-T) \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda(p_2)YT(1-T) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \lambda(p_m)YT(1-T) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - Y + \lambda(p)YT(1-T) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{f(p_1)} \\ \overline{f(p_2)} \\ \cdots \\ \overline{f(p_m)} \\ \overline{Y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Multiplying by the adjoint matrix and computing the determinant, with $Y' = Y - \lambda(p)YT(1-T)$, we have

$$(1 - Y')\mathbb{J} \subseteq f'(P[T]).$$

We have $Y'(0) = Y(0) = 1 - Z(0)$, $Y'(1) = Y(1) = 1 - Z(1)$. Further,

$$Y'(1 - Y') = f'(p') \qquad \text{for some polynomials} \quad p' \in P[T].$$

$$\text{Therefore} \qquad H'(T) = (f'.p', Y) \in \widetilde{Q}(P[T]).$$

We have

$$\mathbb{J} = (f(P[T], Y) = (f'(P[T]), Y) = (f'(P[T]), Y').$$

In fact, $\eta(H'(T)) = (\mathbb{J}, \omega_{\mathbb{J}})$ and write $\eta(\Gamma(H'(T))) = (\mathbb{I}, \omega_{\mathbb{I}})$. Claim

$$\mathbb{I} + JA[T] = A[T]. \qquad i.e. \qquad (f'(P[T]), 1 - Y') + JA[T] = A[T].$$

To see this, let

$$\mathbb{I} + JA[T] \subseteq \wp \in \operatorname{Spec}(A[T])$$

1. If $Y \in \wp$ then $\mathbb{J} = (f'(P[T]), Y) = (f'(P[T]), Y') \subseteq \wp$. So, $Y' \in \wp$, which is impossible, since $1 - Y' \in \wp$. So, $\wp \in D(Y)$.

2. Since f' is unimodular of $\mathscr{P}$ and $\wp \in D(Y)$, we must have $T(1-T) \in \wp$.

3. Now, $T \in \wp$ implies,

   $\mathbb{I}(0)+J = (f'(0)(P), 1-Y'(0))+J = (f(0)(P), 1-Y(0))+J = K_0+J = A \subseteq \wp$

   which is impossible.

4. Likewise, $1 - T \in \wp$ implies,

   $\mathbb{I}(1)+J = (f'(1)(P), 1-Y'(1))+J = (f(0)(P), 1-Y(1))+J = K_1+J = A \subseteq \wp.$

   This is also impossible.

This establishes the claim. Recall, $\omega_{\mathbb{I}} : P[T] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{I}}{\mathbb{I}^2}$ is induced by f'. Extend $\omega_J : A^n \twoheadrightarrow \frac{J}{J^2}$ to a surjective map $\omega_{JA[T]} : A[T]^n \twoheadrightarrow \frac{JA[T]}{J^2A[T]}$. Let

$$\Omega := \omega_{\mathbb{I}} \star \omega_{JA[T]} : P[T] \twoheadrightarrow \frac{J\mathbb{I}}{J^2\mathbb{I}^2} \quad \text{be induced by } \Omega_{\mathbb{I}}, \text{ and } \omega_{JA[T]}.$$

Now, there is a homotopy$\mathcal{H}(T) \in \widetilde{Q}(P[T])$, such that $\eta(\mathcal{H}(T)) = (\mathbb{I}JA[T], \Omega)$. Specializing at $T = 0$ and $T = 1$, we have

$$\eta(\mathcal{H}(0)) = (K_0J, \omega_{K_0J}), \qquad \eta(\mathcal{H}(1)) = (K_1J, \omega_{K_1J}).$$

The proof is complete. ∎

Now we proceed to prove, in several propositions, that the pseudo-difference (5.2) is well defined and homotopy invariant.

Proposition 5.4. *Suppose A is a regular ring over a field k, with $1/2 \in k$, with $\dim A = d$. Let P be a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$. Assume $2n \geq d+2$. As in (5.2), let $(K, \omega_K), (I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$ be given. Let $\mathbf{u} = (f, p, s) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)$ be such that with $\eta(\mathbf{u}) = (I, \omega_I)$ and $\eta(\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) = (J, \omega_J)$, $K + J = A$. Likewise, let $\mathbf{v} = (F, q, S) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)$ be such that $\eta(\mathbf{v}) = (I, \omega_I)$, and with $\eta(\Gamma(\mathbf{v})) = (L, \omega_L)$, $K + L = A$. Assume $height(K) \geq n$. Then,*

$$(K, \omega_K)\hat{+}(J, \omega_J) = (K, \omega_K)\hat{+}(L, \omega_L) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right).$$

(Recall form (5.2), there is no restriction on $height(I), height(J)$ and, nor did we assume $I + K = A$.)

Proof. By hypotheses, $K + J = K + L = A$. By Corollary 4.5,

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}(\zeta(I, \omega_I)) = [\zeta(J, \omega_J)] = [\zeta(L, \omega_L)]. \text{ Hence } \zeta_0(\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) = \zeta_0(\Gamma(\mathbf{v})).$$

By Corollary 3.2, there is a homotopy

$$H(T) = (\varphi(T), \gamma(T), Z(T)) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P[T])$$

such that $H(0) = \Gamma(\mathbf{u})$, $H(1) = \Gamma(\mathbf{v})$. By the Homotopy Lemma 5.3 there is a homotopy $\mathcal{H}(T) \in Q_{2n}(A[T])$ such that $\eta(\mathcal{H}(0)) = (KJ, \omega_K \star \omega_J)$ and $\eta(\mathcal{H}(1)) = (KL, \omega_K \star \omega_L)$. This establishes,

$$(K, \omega_K)\hat{+}(J, \omega_J) = (K, \omega_K)\hat{+}(L, \omega_L) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right).$$

This completes the proof. ∎

Corollary 5.5. *Suppose A is a regular ring over a field k, with $1/2 \in k$ and $\dim A = d$. Let P be a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$. Assume $2n \geq d+2$. Let $(K, \omega_K), (I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{LO}(A, n)$. Assume $height(K) \geq n$. Then, the pseudo-difference* (5.2)

$$(K, \omega_K)\hat{-}(I, \omega_I) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right) \quad \text{is well defined.}$$

Proof. This is immediate from Proposition 5.4. ∎

Now we prove that the pseudo-difference is homotopy invariant, with respect to the the (I, ω_I)-coordiante.

Proposition 5.6. *Suppose A is a regular ring over a field k, with $1/2 \in k$ and $\dim A = d$. Let P be a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$. Assume $2n \geq d + 2$. Let $(K, \omega_K), (I_0, \omega_{I_0}), (I_1, \omega_{I_1}) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$ and $height(K) \geq n$. Suppose $\zeta(I_0, \omega_{I_0}) = \zeta(I_1, \omega_{I_1}) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$. Then,*

$$(K, \omega_K)\hat{-}(I_0, \omega_{I_0}) = (K, \omega_K)\hat{-}(I_1, \omega_{I_1}) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right).$$

In other words, pseudo differences (defined in 5.2) *are homotopy invariant, with respect to the latter coordinate.*

Proof. By Moving Lemma 2.14, we can find $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{u}_1 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)$ such that $\eta(\mathbf{u}_0) = (I_0, \omega_{I_0})$, $\eta(\mathbf{u}_1) = (I_1, \omega_{I_1})$, and with, $\eta(\Gamma(\mathbf{u}_0)) = (J_0, \omega_{J_0})$ and $\eta(\Gamma(\mathbf{u}_1)) = (J_1, \omega_{J_1})$, we have $K + J_0 = K + J_1 = A$. By hypothesis, $\zeta(I_0, \omega_{I_0}) = \zeta(I_1, \omega_{I_1})$ and hence

$$\zeta_0(\Gamma(\mathbf{u}_0)) = \zeta(J_0, \omega_{J_0}) = \widetilde{\Gamma}(\zeta(I_0, \omega_{I_0})) = \widetilde{\Gamma}(\zeta(I_1, \omega_{I_1})) = \zeta(J_1, \omega_{J_1}) = \zeta_0(\Gamma(\mathbf{u}_1)).$$

By Corollary 3.2, there is a homotopy $H(T) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)$ such that $H(0) = \Gamma(\mathbf{u}_0)$ and $H(1) = \Gamma(\mathbf{u}_1)$. Since $K + J_0 = K + J_1 = A$, by Lemma 5.3, there is a homotopy $\mathcal{H}(T) \in Q_{2n}(A[T])$ such that $\eta(\mathcal{H}(0)) = (KJ_0, \omega_K \star \omega_{J_0})$ and $\eta(\mathcal{H}(1)) = (KJ_0, \omega_K \star \omega_{J_1})$. Therefore,

$$(K, \omega_K)\hat{+}(J_0, \omega_{J_0}) = (K, \omega_K)\hat{+}(J_1, \omega_{J_1})$$

and hence

$$(K, \omega_K)\hat{-}(I_0, \omega_{I_0}) = (K, \omega_K)\hat{-}(I_1, \omega_{I_1}).$$

The proof is complete. ∎

We extend the definition of pseudo difference to $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$ as follows.

Corollary 5.7. *Suppose A is a regular ring over a field k, with $1/2 \in k$, with $\dim A = d$. Let P be a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$. Assume*

$2n \geq d+2$. *Let* $(K, \omega_K) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$ *and* $height(K) \geq n$. *Then, there is a well defined set theoretic map*

$$\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right) \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right) \qquad \text{sending} \qquad \zeta(I, \omega_I) \mapsto (K, \omega_K)\hat{-}(I, \omega_I).$$

Proof. Immediate from Proposition 5.6. ∎

Now, we extend the pseudo-difference to $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right) \times \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$.

Theorem 5.8. *Suppose* A *is a regular ring over a field* k, *with* $1/2 \in k$ *and* $\dim A = d$. *Let* P *be a projective* A*-module with* $rank(P) = n$. *Assume* $2n \geq d+2$. *Then, there is a set theoretic map*

$$\Theta : \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right) \times \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right) \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$$

such that, for $(K, \omega_K) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$, *with* $height(K) \geq n$, *and* $(I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$,

$$\Theta\left(\zeta(K, \omega_K), \zeta(I, \omega_I)\right) = (K, \omega_K)\hat{-}(I, \omega_I). \tag{7}$$

Proof. Suppose $x \in \pi_0(Q_{2n})(A)$. By the Moving Lemma 4.6, we can write $x = \zeta(K, \omega_K)$, with $height(K) \geq n$. Therefore, if well defined, the Equation 7 applies to all $(x, y) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right) \times \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$.

Let $x, y \in \pi_0(Q_{2n})(A)$. We can write $x = \zeta(K, \omega_K)$, with $height(K) \geq n$ and $y = \zeta(I, \omega_I)$. Define,

$$\Theta(x, y) = (K, \omega_K)\hat{-}(I, \omega_I).$$

We need to prove that, for $(K, \omega_K), (K', \omega_{K'}) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$, if $x = \zeta(K, \omega_K) = \zeta(K', \omega_{K'})$, with $height(K) \geq n$ and $height(K') \geq n$, then

$$(K, \omega_K)\hat{-}(I, \omega_I) = (K', \omega_{K'})\hat{-}(I, \omega_I).$$

Again, by Moving Lemma 2.14, there is $\mathbf{u} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)$ such that $\eta(\mathbf{u}) = (I, \omega_I)$, and with $\eta(\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) = (J, \omega_J)$, we have $J + KK' = A$. Since $x = \zeta(K, \omega_K) = \zeta(K', \omega_{K.})$, by Corollary 3.2, there is a homotopy $H(T) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)$ such that $\eta(H(0)) = (K, \omega_K)$ and $\eta(H(1)) = (K', \omega_{K'})$. By Lemma 5.3, there is a

homotopy $\mathcal{H}(T) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)$ such that $\eta(\mathcal{H}(0)) = (KJ, \omega_K \star \omega_J)$ and $\eta(\mathcal{H}(1)) = (K'J, \omega_{K'} \star \omega_J)$. Therefore,

$$(K, \omega_K)\hat{+}(J, \omega_J) = \zeta(KJ, \omega_K \star \omega_J) = \zeta(K'J, \omega_{K'} \star \omega_J) = (K', \omega_{K'})\hat{+}(J, \omega_J).$$

Therefore, by definition,

$$(K, \omega_K)\hat{-}(I, \omega_I) = (K', \omega_{K'})\hat{-}(I, \omega_I).$$

This completes the proof. ∎

Finally, we are ready to define the binary structure on $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$.

Definition 5.9. *Suppose A is a regular ring over a field k, with $1/2 \in k$ and $\dim A = d$. Let P be a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$. Assume $2n \geq d + 2$. Then, for $x, y \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$, the association*

$$(x, y) \mapsto \Theta\left(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}(y)\right)$$

is a well defined binary operation on $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$, where Θ is as in (5.8). This operation is well defined because so are Θ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ (see Ccorollary 4.4). We denote

$$x + y := \Theta\left(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}(y)\right)$$

This binary operation will be referred to an addition.

With the help of the Moving Lemma 2.14, the addition operation on $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$ can be described in a more direct manner, as follows.

Lemma 5.10. *Suppose A is a regular ring over afield k, with $1/2 \in k$ and $\dim A = d$. Let P be a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$. Assume $2n \geq d+2$. Let $x, y \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$. By the Moving Lemma 2.14, $x = \zeta(K, \omega_K)$ and $y = \zeta(I, \omega_I)$, for some $(K, \omega_K), (I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$, such that $I + K = A$ and $height(K) \geq n$. Then,*

$$x + y = (K, \omega_K)\hat{+}(I, \omega_I) \qquad \text{as in Definition (5.1).}$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{u} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)$ be such that $\eta(\mathbf{u}) = (I, \omega_I)$ and write $\eta(\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) = (J, \omega_J)$. Then, $\widetilde{\Gamma}(\zeta(I, \omega_I)) = \zeta(J, \omega_J)$. By Definition 5.9,

$$x + y = \Theta\left(x, \widetilde{\Gamma}(y)\right) = \Theta\left(x, \zeta(J, \omega_J)\right) = (K, \omega_K)\hat{-}(J, \omega_J) = (K, \omega_K)\hat{+}(I, \omega_I).$$

This completes the proof. ∎

The following is a final statement on the binary structure on $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$, which is not necessarily a group.

Theorem 5.11. *Suppose A is a regular ring over a field k, with $1/2 \in k$ and $\dim A = d$. Let P be a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$. Assume $2n \geq d+2$. Then, the addition operation on $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$, defined in (5.9) has the following properties. Let $\mathbf{e}_0 = \zeta_0(0,0,0)$ and $\mathbf{e}_1 = \zeta_0(0,0,1)$.*

1. *The addition in $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$ is commutative and associative. Further, $\mathbf{e}_1$ acts as the additive identity in $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$. In other words, $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$ has a structure of an abelian monoid.*
2. *For any $x \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$, $x + \widetilde{\Gamma}(x) = \mathbf{e}_0$.*
3. *If $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1$, then $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$ is an abelian groups under this addition.* (In particular, if $P = Q \oplus A$, then $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$ is an abelian groups.)

Proof. Given $x, y, z \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$, by applications of the Moving Lemma 2.14, we can write

$$x = \zeta(K, \omega_K),\ y = \zeta(I, \omega_I)\ z = \zeta(J, \omega_J) \quad \ni\ K + I = K + J = I + J = A$$

and $height(K) \geq n$, $height(I) \geq n$, $height(J) \geq n$. By Lemma 5.10, we have the following.

$$(x + y) + z = ((K, \omega_K)\hat{+}(I, \omega_I))\hat{+}(J, \omega_J) = x + (y + z).$$

and $$x + y = (K, \omega_K)\hat{+}(I, \omega_I) = (I, \omega_I)\hat{+}(K, \omega_K) = y + x.$$

So, the associativity and commutativity hold. It is obvious that, for all $x \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$, we have $x + \mathbf{e}_1 = x$. So, $\mathbf{e}_1$ acts as the additive identity.

Also, with $x = \zeta(K, \omega_K) \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$, as above, we have $x = \zeta_0(\mathbf{u})$ for some $\mathbf{u} = (f, p, s) \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$. So, $\eta\mathbf{u} = (K, \omega_K)$ and we write $\eta(\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) = (I_1, \omega_{I_1})$. We can assume $height(I_1) \geq n$. It follows.

$$x + \widetilde{\Gamma}(x) = \zeta_0(f, 0, 0) = \mathbf{e}_0.$$

Therefore, if $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1$, x has an additive inverse. This completes the proof. ■

The following is a list of trivial comments on homotopy equivalences.

Remark 5.12. Let A be a commutative ring with $\dim A = d$ and P be a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$. There are two distinguished elements in $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$, which we denote $\mathbf{e}_0 = \zeta_0(0, 0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{e}_1 = \zeta_0(0, 0, 1)$.

1. Let $(f, p) \in P^* \oplus P$. Then, $(f, p, 1), (f, p, 0) \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$. Further, the homotopy $H(T) = ((1-T)f, (1-T)p, 1) \in \widetilde{Q}(P[T])$ implies $\zeta_0(f, p, 1) = \mathbf{e}_1$. Likewise, $H(T) = ((1-T)f, (1-T)p, 0)$ implies $\zeta_0(f, p, 0) = \mathbf{e}_0$.

2. Assume $P = Q \oplus A$. Write $p_0 = (0, 1) \in P$, $f_0 : P \twoheadrightarrow A$ be the projection. The homotopy $H(T) = (f_0, T(1-T)p_0, T)$, and (1) implies

$$\mathbf{e}_0 = \zeta_0(f_0, 0, 0) = \zeta_0(f_0, 0, 1) = \mathbf{e}_1.$$

   A converse to this statement follows.

Proposition 5.13. *Suppose A is a essentially smooth ring over an infinite perfect field k, with $1/2 \in k$ and $\dim A = d$. Let P be a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$. Assume $2n \geq d + 3$. Then, $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1$ if and only if $P \cong Q \oplus A$ for some projective A-module Q.*

Proof. If $P \cong Q \oplus A$, by (5.12), $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1$. Conversely, suppose $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1$. Fix $f_0 \in P^*$ such that $height(P) = n$. Then, $\zeta_0(f_0, 0, 0) = \mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1$. Then, it follow from Theorem 2.12 that $\eta(0, 0, 1)$ lifts to a surjective map $P \twoheadrightarrow A$. This completes the proof. ■

Remark 5.14. Use the notation as in (5.11). When $\mathbf{e}_0 \neq \mathbf{e_1}$, the results in (5.11) describe a situation similar to what leads to the definition of Grothendieck Witt groups and then Witt groups, starting from the Monoid of symmetric spaces. For our discussions, the group analogous to the Witt groups would be of some interest to us, where $\mathbf{e}_0$ is forcibly identified with the additive identity.

For $x, y \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$ define $x \sim y$ if $x+n\mathbf{e}_0 = y+m\mathbf{e}_0$, for integers $m, n \geq 0$. This is easily checked to be an equivalence relation. Let $\mathbb{E}\left(\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)\right)$ be the set of all equivalence classes and $\ell : \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{E}\left(\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)\right)$ be the natural surjective map. It also follows that $\mathbb{E}\left(\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)\right)$ has a structure of an abelian group, induced by that additive structure on $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$. The identity element of $\mathbb{E}\left(\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)\right)$ is $\ell(\mathbf{e}_0)$ and for $x \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$, the additive inverse of $\ell(x)$ is $\ell(\widetilde{\Gamma}(x))$.

Clearly, if $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{e_1}$, then $\mathbb{E}\left(\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)\right) = \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$.

6 The Euler Class Groups

Suppose A is a noetherian commutative ring with $\dim A = d$ and P is a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n$. In this section, in analogy to the definition of the Euler class groups $E^n(A)$ in [BS2, MY], we define a group $E(P)$, which would also be called the Euler class group of P. Subsequently, we compare $E(P)$ with $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$. Also, refer to some superfluous aspect of the definitions in [BS2, MY], pointed out in [MM]. (*In the sequel, for a set S, the free abelian group generated by S will be denoted by $\mathbb{Z}(S)$*).

Definition 6.1. Suppose A is a noetherian commutative ring, with $\dim A = d$ and P is a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n \geq 0$. Denote,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}O^n(P) = \{(I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O(P) : height(I) \geq n\}, \\ \mathcal{L}O^n_c(P) = \{(I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O(P) : V(I) \text{ is connected and } height(I) \geq n\}. \end{cases}$$

Let $(I,\omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O^n(P)$ and $I = \cap_{i=1}^m I_i$ be a decomposition, where $V(I_i) \subseteq \text{Spec}\,(A)$ are connected. The local orientation $(I,\omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O^n(P)$ induce $(I_i,\omega_{I_i}) \in \mathcal{L}O_c^n(P)$, for $i = 1,\ldots,m$. Denote $\varepsilon(I,\omega_I) = \sum_{i=1}^m (I_i,\omega_{I_i}) \in \mathbb{Z}\,(\mathcal{L}O_c^n(P))$. A local orientation $(I,\omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$ would be called global, if ω_I lifts to a surjective map $P \twoheadrightarrow I$. Let $\mathscr{R}(P)$ denote the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}\,(\mathcal{L}O^c(P))$, generated by the set $\{\varepsilon(I,\omega_I) : (I,\omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O^n(P)$ and, is global$\}$. (*Note* $\eta(0,0,1)$ *is global, only if* $P = Q \oplus A$.) Define

$$E(P) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}\,(\mathcal{L}O_c^n(P))}{\mathscr{R}(P)} \quad \text{to be called the Euler class group of } \ P.$$

Images of $\varepsilon(I,\omega_I)$ in $E(P)$ with be denoted by $\overline{\varepsilon}(I,\omega_I)$.

We define a homomorphism $\rho : E(P) \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}\left(\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)\right)$, as follows.

Definition 6.2. Suppose A is a regular ring over a field k, with $1/2 \in k$ and $\dim A = d$, and P is a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$ and $2n \geq d+2$. (*Use the notations in* (5.14)). The restrictions of the map ζ give the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{L}O_c^n(P) & & & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow^{\zeta} & & & \\ \mathcal{L}O^n(P) & \underset{\zeta}{\twoheadrightarrow} & \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right) & \underset{\ell}{\twoheadrightarrow} & \mathbb{E}\left(\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)\right) \end{array}$$

Since $\mathbb{E}\left(\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)\right)$ has the structure of an abelian group, the composition map $\ell\zeta : \mathcal{L}O_c^n(P) \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}\left(\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)\right)$ extends to a group homomorphism $\rho_0 : \mathbb{Z}\,(\mathcal{L}O^c(A,n)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}\left(\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)\right)$.

Now suppose $(I,\omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O^n(P)$ be global. Then, $\varepsilon(I,\omega) = \mathbf{e}_0$. Since, $\ell(\mathbf{e}_0)$ is the additive identity, ρ_0 factors through a group homomorphism $\rho : E(P) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{E}\left(\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)\right)$. In fact, ρ is surjective. (*We remark that a for a global orientation* (I,ω), $\varepsilon(I,\omega) = \mathbf{e}_0$ *would not necessarily map to zero in the Grothendieck group of the monoid* $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$.)

Proof. It is self explanatory that $\rho : E(P) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{E}\left(\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)\right)$ is a well defined group homomorphism. For $x \in \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$, by Moving Lemma 2.14, $x = \zeta(I, \omega_I)$ for some $(I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O^n(P)$. Let $I = \cap_{i=1}^m I_i$ be a decomposition, with $V(I_i)$ connected and $\omega_i : P \twoheadrightarrow \frac{I_i}{I_i^2}$ be the surjective map induced by ω_I. Then,

$$\rho_0(\varepsilon(I, \omega_I)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \ell(\zeta(I_i, \omega_i)) = \ell\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \zeta(I_i, \omega_i)\right) = \ell(\zeta(I, \omega_I)) = \ell(x).$$

So, ρ_0 is surjective and hence so in ρ. This completes the proof. ∎

We comment on the question of infectivity of ρ, in two cases, when $P \cong Q \oplus A$ and when P does not split.

Theorem 6.3. *Suppose k is an infinite perfect field, with $1/2 \in k$ and A is an essentially smooth ring over k, with $\dim A = d$. Suppose P is a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$ and $2n \geq d + 3$. Assume $P \cong Q \oplus A$. Then, $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(\pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)\right)$ and the homomorphism $\rho : E(P) \longrightarrow \pi_0\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(P)\right)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We only need to prove that ρ is injective. Let $\rho(x) = 0$ for some $x \in E^n(A)$. We can write $x = \overline{\varepsilon}(I, \omega_I)$, for some $(I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O^n(P)$, with $height(I) \geq n$. We can assume $height(I) = n$. We have $\zeta(I, \omega_I) = \zeta_0(0, 0, 1)$. It follows from Theorem 2.12 that (I, ω_I) and hence $x = \overline{\varepsilon}(I, \omega_I) = 0$. So, ρ is an isomorphism. This completes the proof. ∎

Corollary 6.4. *Suppose k is an infinite perfect field, with $1/2 \in k$ and A is an essentially smooth ring over k, with $\dim A = d$. P is a projective A-module with $rank(P) = n$ and $2n \geq d + 3$. Assume $P \cong Q \oplus A$. Suppose $(I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O^n(P)$ and $\overline{\varepsilon}(I, \omega_I) = 0 \in E(P)$. Then, ω_I lifts to a surjective homomorphism $P \twoheadrightarrow I$.*

Proof. It is immediate from Theorem 6.3. ∎

In fact, a version of (6.4), without the regularity condition, would follow by the same argruments in [BS2]. Before give this version, we comment on the question of injectivity of ρ, when P does not split, as above.

Proposition 6.5. *Suppose A is a noetherian commutative ring with $\dim A = n$ and P is a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n$. Assume that P does not have a unimodular element. Then, there is an exact sequence of abelian group*

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}(P) \longrightarrow E(P) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{Hence} \quad E(P) \cong \widetilde{E}(P) \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

where $\widetilde{E}(P)$ is the subgroup of $E(P)$ generated by $\overline{\varepsilon}(I, \omega_I)$, with $(I, \omega_I) \neq \eta(0, 0, 1) = (A, *)$, (i. e. $height(I) = n$).

Consequently, even when A is regular over a field k, with $1/2 \in k$ and $2n \geq d + 2$, the map $\rho : E(P) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{E}\left(\pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)\right)$ is not injective.

Proof. Let $\iota_0 : \mathbb{Z}\left(\mathcal{L}O_n^n(P)\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the homomorphism defined by the coefficient of $\eta(0, 0, 1)$. It is clear that, if $(I, \omega_I) \in \mathcal{L}O(P)$ is global then $\iota(\varepsilon(I, \omega_I) = 0$. So, ι_0 induce a surjective map $\iota : E(P) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that $\ker(\iota) = \widetilde{E}(P)$. The latter statement about injectivity of ρ, follows because $\rho\left(\overline{\varepsilon}(A, *)\right) = 0$. This completes the proof. ∎

6.1 The Vanishing of Euler cycles

We use the notations as in (6.1). An element $x \in E(P)$ of may be referred to as an Euler cycle. In this subsection, we prove a less restrictive version of Corollary 6.4, using the same method in [BS2]. We will follow the arguments in the proof of [BS2, Theorem 4.2], which mainly depends on the availability of Subtraction and Addition Principles. Accordingly, the following is a version of [BS2, Proposition 3.3].

Proposition 6.6. *Suppose A is a noetherian commutative ring, with $\dim A = d$ and P is a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n$. Assume $2n \geq d+3$ and $P \cong Q \oplus A$. If $A = R[X]$ is a polynomial ring over a regular ring R, over an infinite field k, assume $2n \geq \dim R[T] + 2$. Let $J_0, J_1, J_2, J_3 \subseteq A$ be ideals, with $height(J_i) \geq n$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $J_0 + J_1 J_2 = A$ and $J_0 J_1 J_2 + J_3 = A$.*

Also, let

$$\alpha : P \twoheadrightarrow J_0 \cap J_1, \quad \beta : P \twoheadrightarrow J_0 \cap J_2 \quad \text{be surjective maps } \ni \alpha \otimes \frac{A}{J_0} = \beta \otimes \frac{A}{J_0}.$$

Further, assume that there is a surjective map

$$\gamma : P \twoheadrightarrow J_1 \cap J_3 \quad \ni \quad \gamma \otimes \frac{A}{J_1} = \alpha \otimes \frac{A}{J_1}.$$

Then, there is a surjective map

$$\delta : P \twoheadrightarrow J_2 \cap J_3 \quad \ni \quad \delta \otimes \frac{A}{J_3} = \gamma \otimes \frac{A}{J_3}, \quad \delta \otimes \frac{A}{J_2} = \beta \otimes \frac{A}{J_2}.$$

Proof. Denote $\omega_0 = \alpha \otimes \frac{A}{J_0} = \beta \otimes \frac{A}{J_0}$, $\omega_1 = \alpha \otimes \frac{A}{J_1} = \gamma \otimes \frac{A}{J_1}$ $\omega_2 = \beta \otimes \frac{A}{J_2}$, $\omega_3 = \gamma \otimes \frac{A}{J_3}$. By Moving Lemma 2.14 there is $\mathbf{u} = (f, p, s) \in \widetilde{Q}(P)$, such that $\eta(\mathbf{u}) = (J_0, \omega_0)$ and with $\eta(\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) = (J_4, \omega_4)$, we have $J_1J_2J_3 + J_4 = A$ and $height(J_4) \geq n$. Clearly, $f(P) = J_0 \cap J_4$, with $J_0 + J_4 = A$.

Let $g := f : P \twoheadrightarrow J_0J_4$ be the a surjective map defined by f. (*It makes a difference, where we look at* $f \in P^*$ *or* $f \in Hom(P, J_0J_4)$.) Then, $g \otimes \frac{A}{J_0} = \omega_0$. By Addition Principle [BK, Theorems 5.6, 5.7], to γ and g, there is a surjective map

$$\mu : P \twoheadrightarrow (J_1 \cap J_3) \cap (J_0 \cap J_4) \quad \ni \quad \mu \otimes \frac{A}{J_1 \cap J_3} = \gamma \otimes \frac{A}{J_1 \cap J_3} = \omega_1 \star \omega_3,$$

$$\text{and} \qquad \mu \otimes \frac{A}{J_0 \cap J_4} = g \otimes \frac{A}{J_0 \cap J_4} = \omega_0 \star \omega_4.$$

It follows, $\mu \otimes \frac{A}{J_0 \cap J_1} = \omega_0 \star \omega_1 = \alpha \otimes \frac{A}{J_0 \cap J_1}$. By Subtraction Principle [BK, Theorems 3.7, 4.11], applied to μ and α, there is a surjective map $\nu : P \twoheadrightarrow J_3 \cap J_4$ such that $\nu \otimes \frac{A}{J_3 \cap J_4} = \mu \otimes \frac{A}{J_3 \cap J_4} = \omega_3 \star \omega_4$. By Addition Principle [BK, Theorems 5.6, 5.7], applied to ν and β, there is a surjective map

$$\lambda : P \twoheadrightarrow (J_0 \cap J_2) \cap (J_3 \cap J_4) \quad \ni \quad \lambda \otimes \frac{A}{J_0 \cap J_2} = \beta \otimes \frac{A}{J_0 \cap J_2} = \omega_0 \star \omega_2$$

$$\text{and,} \qquad \lambda \otimes \frac{A}{J_3 \cap J_4} = \nu \otimes \frac{A}{J_3 \cap J_4} = \omega_3 \star \omega_4.$$

Now apply Subtraction Principle [BK, Theorems 3.7, 4.11], to λ and g. There is a surjective map

$$\delta : P \twoheadrightarrow J_2 \cap J_3 \quad \ni \quad \delta \otimes \frac{A}{J_2 \cap J_3} = \lambda \otimes \frac{A}{J_2 \cap J_3} = \omega_2 \star \omega_3.$$

So, $\delta \otimes \frac{A}{J_2} = \omega_2$ and $\delta \otimes \frac{A}{J_3} = \omega_3$. The proof is complete. ∎

The following is the version of Corollary 6.1.

Theorem 6.7. *Suppose A is a commutative noetherian ring with $\dim A = d$ and P is a projective A-module, with $rank(P) = n$. Assume $2n \geq d+3$ and $P \cong Q \oplus A$. If $A = R[X]$ is a polynomial ring over a regular ring R, over an infinite field k, assume $2n \geq \dim R[T] + 2$. Let $(J, \omega_J) \in \mathcal{L}O^n(P)$ and $\overline{\varepsilon}(J, \omega_J) = 0 \in E(P)$. Then, ω_J lifts to a surjective map $P \twoheadrightarrow J$.*

Proof. Suppose $(J, \omega_J) \in \mathcal{L}O^n(P)$ and $\overline{\varepsilon}(J, \omega_J) = 0 \in E(P)$. We have a set

$$\{(J_t, \omega_t) : 1 \leq t \leq r+s\}$$

such that

1. $height(J_t) = n$.
2. there are surjective maps $\alpha_t : P \twoheadrightarrow J_t$ such that α_t lifts ω_t.
3. And

$$\varepsilon(J, \omega) + \sum_{l=r+1}^{r+s} \varepsilon(J_t, \omega_t) = \sum_{t=1}^{r} \varepsilon(J_t, \omega_t) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{Z}\left(\mathcal{L}O_c^n(P)\right). \qquad (8)$$

holds in the free group $\mathbb{Z}\left(\mathcal{L}O_c^n(P)\right)$.

First assume that $J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_r$ are pairwise comaximal. In this case, $J, J_{r+1}, \ldots, J_{r+s}$ are pairwise comaximal. Write

$$J' = \cap_{l=r+1}^{r+s} J_t, \qquad J" = \cap_{t=1}^{r} J_t. \qquad \text{Then} \quad J \cap J' = J".$$

Further, by Addition Principle [BK, Theorems 5.6, 5.7], there are surjective homomorphisms $\alpha' : P \twoheadrightarrow J'$ and $\alpha" : P \twoheadrightarrow J"$ such that

$$\varepsilon(J', \omega') = \sum_{t=r+1}^{r+s} \varepsilon(J_t, \omega_t), \quad \varepsilon(J", \omega") = \sum_{t=r+1}^{r+s} \varepsilon(J_t, \omega_t) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{Z}\left(\mathcal{L}O_c^n(P)\right)$$

where $\omega' = \alpha' \otimes A/J'$ and $\omega" = \alpha" \otimes A/J"$. So, Subtraction Principle [BK, Theorems 3.7, 4.11], there is a surjective homomorphism $\alpha : P \twoheadrightarrow J$ such that $\alpha \otimes A/J = \alpha" \otimes A/J = \omega$.

Now, we consider that $J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_r$ are not, necessarily, pairwise comaximal. Given an Equation, as in (8), we would associate an integer $n(\text{Eqn}-8) \geq 0$, as follows. Let S_i be the set of all connected components of J_i and $S = \cup_{i=1}^r S_i$. For $K \in S$, let $n(K) + 1$ be the cardinality of the set $\{t : K + J_t \neq A\}$. Let $n(\text{Eqn}-8) = \sum_{K \in S} n(K)$. We have $n(\text{Eqn}-8) = 0$ if and only if $J_1, J_2, \ldots, J_r$ are comaximal.

Now, assume $n(\text{Eqn}-8) \geq 1$. Therefor, $n(K) \geq 1$ for some $K \in S$. We can assume $K \in S_1$ and $K + J_2 \neq A$. So, $\exists\ \tilde{K}$ a connected component of J_2 such that $K + \tilde{K} \neq A$.

First, assume $K \neq \tilde{K}$. Both $K, \tilde{K}$ cannot be connected component of J. (*components add up to A.*) Without loss of generality, assume K is not a connected component of J. Using Eqn-8, it follows that there is an integer l, with $r + 1 \leq l \leq r + s$, such that (1) K is a connected component of J_l, (2) $\alpha_l \otimes A/K = \alpha_1 \otimes A/K$. Assume $l = r + 1$ and denote $\omega_K := \alpha_l \otimes A/K = \alpha_1 \otimes A/K : P \twoheadrightarrow K/K^2$. We write $J_1 = K \cap K_1$ and $J_{r+1} = K \cap K_2$ where $K + K_1 = A = K + K_2$. By Moving Lemma 2.14, applied to $\omega_{K_1} := \alpha_1 \otimes \frac{A}{K_1}$, there is an ideal K_3 such that (3) $height(K_3) \geq n$, (4) K_3 is comaximal to $J, J_j, \forall 1 \leq j \leq r + s$, (5) there is a surjective map $\beta : P \twoheadrightarrow K_3 \cap K_1$ such that $\alpha_1 \otimes A/K_1 = \beta \otimes A/K_1$.
The have three surjective maps:

$$\alpha_1 : P \twoheadrightarrow K \cap K_1, \quad \alpha_{r+1} : P \twoheadrightarrow K \cap K_2 \quad \beta : P \twoheadrightarrow K_1 \cap K_3$$

By proposition 6.6, there is a surjective map

$$\beta_{r+1} : P \twoheadrightarrow K_3 \cap K_2 \quad \ni \quad \alpha_{r+1} \otimes \frac{A}{K_2} = \beta_{r+1} \otimes \frac{A}{K_2}, \quad \beta \otimes \frac{A}{K_3} = \beta_{r+1} \otimes \frac{A}{K_3}.$$

So, we have

$$\varepsilon(J,\omega)+\varepsilon\left(\widetilde{J_{r+1}},\widetilde{\beta_{r+1}}\right)+\sum_{l=r+2}^{r+s}\varepsilon(J_l,\omega_l)=\varepsilon\left(\widetilde{J}_1,\widetilde{\beta}_1\right)+\sum_{l=2}^{r}\varepsilon(J_l,\omega_l). \quad (9)$$

where $\widetilde{J_{r+1}}=K_3\cap K_2$ and $\widetilde{J}_1=K_3\cap K_1$. (*$K$ is removed from both sides and K_3 is inserted.*) It is clear $n(\text{Eqn}-9)<n(\text{Eqn}-8)$. Therefore, by induction, the Equation-9) would reduce to an Equation (*), so that $n(*)=0$.

Now assume $K=\tilde{K}$. Let $\omega_K=\alpha_1\otimes A/K$. Therefore, $K=\tilde{K}$ is a component of J_2. We denote $\tilde{\omega}_K=\alpha_2\otimes A/K$. Using Equation-8, it follows that either (K,ω_K) or $(K,\tilde{\omega}_K)$ is a summand of $\sum_{t=r+1}^{r+s}\varepsilon(J_t,\omega_t)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that (K,ω_K) is a summand of $\varepsilon(J_{r+1},\omega_{r+1})$ and complete the induction exactly in the same manner, as above. This completes the proof. ∎

A The Motivic Approach

We assume A is a commutative ring containing a field k, with $1/2\in k$. In some literature (e. g. [F, AF]), perhaps known as Motivic Approach, driven by the desire to view the data in $\mathcal{L}O(A^n)=\mathcal{L}O(A,n)$ in a functorial manner, one writes

$$\begin{cases} Q_{2n}(A)=\{(s;f_1,\ldots,f_n;g_1,\ldots,g_n)\in A^{2n+1}:\sum_{i=1}^n f_ig_i+s(s-1)=0\} \\ Q'_{2n}(A)=\{(s;f_1,\ldots,f_n;g_1,\ldots,g_n)\in A^{2n+1}:\sum_{i=1}^n f_ig_i+s^2=1\} \end{cases}$$

and the homotopy sets $\pi_0\left(Q_{2n}\right)(A)$, $\pi_0\left(Q'_{2n}\right)(A)$ were defined. It was pointed out thatt there is a bijection $Q_{2n}(A)\xrightarrow{\sim}Q'_{2n}(A)$, which induces a bijection $\pi_0\left(Q_{2n}\right)(A)\xrightarrow{\sim}\pi_0\left(Q'_{2n}\right)(A)$. So, we would comment only on $Q'_{2n}(A)$. Recall, the pref-sheaf (functoriality) structure of $A\mapsto Q'_{2n}(A)$ was obtained from bijection $Q'_{2n}(A)\cong Hom\left(\text{Spec}\left(A\right),\text{Spec}\left(\mathscr{B}_{2n+1}\right)\right)$, where $\mathscr{B}_{2n+1}=\frac{k[X_1,\ldots,X_n;Y_1,\ldots,Y_n,Z]}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_iY_i+Z^2-1\right)}$.

By analogy, for our purpose, for a projective A-module P, we considered

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{Q}(P)=\{(f,p,s)\in P^*\oplus P\oplus A:f(p)+s(s-1)=0\}, \\ \widetilde{Q}'(P)=\{(f,p,s)\in P^*\oplus P\oplus A:f(p)+s^2=1\}. \end{cases}$$

and define $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right)$, $\pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}'(P)\right)$. As usual, a bijection $\widetilde{Q}(P) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{Q}'(P)$, is obtained by completing the square $s(s-1) = \left(s-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4}$. It was established (see Theorem 2.7) that there is a bijection $\pi_0\left(\mathcal{L}O(P)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}(P)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0\left(\widetilde{Q}'(P)\right)$. We clarify the pre-sheaf structure (functoriality) on $\widetilde{Q}'(P)$ as follows.

Suppose Q is a projective A-module and $S(Q^*) = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} S_i(Q^*)$ denote the symmetric algebra of Q^*. Let $quad(Q) = \{\varphi \in Hom(Q, Q^*) : \varphi^* = \varphi\}$ denote the A-module of all the quadratic forms on Q. Given $\varphi \in quad(Q)$, let $B(\varphi) :\in Hom(Q \otimes Q, A) \cong Q^* \times Q^*$ be the corresponding bilinear map. In fact, this association $\varphi \mapsto B(\varphi)$ induces a bijection $quad(Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} S_2(Q^*)$ (see [Sw, § 2]). Consider the commutative diagram of bijections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{ev}_{\approx} & Hom(Q^*, A) \\ & \overset{\sim}{\searrow}^{\lambda} & \downarrow \wr \\ & & Hom(S(Q^*), A) \end{array}$$

Fix $x \in Q$. For $f, g \in Q^*$, $\lambda(x)(f) = f(x)$ and $\lambda(x)(fg) = f(x)g(x)$. Let "overline" denote the images of elements in $Q^* \otimes Q^* \cong Hom(Q \otimes Q, A)$ in $S_2(Q^*)$ Given bilinear map $\beta : Q \otimes Q \longrightarrow A$, $\beta = \sum f_i \otimes g_i$ for some $f_i, g_i \in Q^*$. So, $\lambda(x)(\overline{\beta}) = \sum f_i(x)g_i(x) = \beta(x, x)$.

Fix quadratic form $\varphi : Q \longrightarrow Q^*$ and $B(\varphi) : Q \otimes Q \longrightarrow A$ be the corresponding bilinear map. More precisely, $B(\varphi)(x, y) = \varphi(x)(y)$. As usual, define $q : Q \longrightarrow A$ by $q(x) = B(x, x)$. Then,

$$\text{for } x \in Q \quad \lambda(x)(\overline{B(\varphi)}) = B(\varphi)(x, x) = q(x).$$

For our purpose, we summarize the above, as follows.

Proposition A.1. *Suppose A is a commutative noetherian ring, containing a field k, with $1/2 \in k$. Now, let (Q, φ) be a quadratic space, over A. Define*

$$\mathbb{S}(Q, \varphi) = \{x \in Q : q(x) = 1\}, \qquad \mathscr{B}(Q, \varphi) = \frac{S(Q^*)}{(\overline{B(\varphi)} - 1)}$$

Then, there are bijections, as follows

$$\mathbb{S}(Q, \varphi) \xrightarrow{\sim} Hom\left(\mathscr{B}(Q, \varphi), A\right) = Hom\left(\mathrm{Spec}\left(A\right), \mathrm{Spec}\left(\mathscr{B}(Q, \varphi)\right)\right).$$

Proof. Follows from above discussions. ∎

Remark A.2. Suppose A_0 is a commutative noetherian ring, containing a field k, with $1/2 \in k$ and (Q_0, φ_0) is a quadratic space over A_0. There is pre-sheaf

$$\underline{Sch}_{A_0} \longrightarrow \underline{Sets} \quad \text{sending} \quad \text{Spec}\,(A) \mapsto \mathbb{S}\left((Q_0, \varphi_0) \otimes A\right)$$

In fact, there are bijections, as follows

$$\mathbb{S}\left((Q_0, \varphi_0) \otimes A\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} Hom\left(\mathscr{B}\left((Q_0, \varphi_0) \otimes A\right), A\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} Hom\left(\mathscr{B}(Q_0, \varphi_0), A\right).$$

Corollary A.3. *Suppose A is a commutative noetherian ring, containing a field k, with $1/2 \in k$. Let P be a projective A-module, $\mathbb{H}(P) = P^* \oplus P$ be the hyperbolic space and $(Q, \varphi) = \mathbb{H}(P) \perp A$, and $\mathbb{H}(P) = P^* \oplus P$. Let $B : Q \otimes Q \longrightarrow A$ be the bilinear form of (Q, φ). Let $\mathscr{A}(P) = \frac{S(P \oplus P^* \oplus A)}{(B-1)}$. Then, there are bijections, as follows*

$$\widetilde{Q}(P) \xrightarrow{\sim} Hom\left(\mathscr{A}(P), A\right) = Hom\left(\text{Spec}\,(A), \text{Spec}\,(\mathscr{A}(P))\right).$$

Proof. Follows from (A.1). This completes the proof. ∎.

References

[AF] Asok, Aravind; Fasel, Jean Euler class groups and motivic stable cohomotopy, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1601.05723.pdf

[BK] Bhatwadekar, S. M.; Keshari, Manoj Kumar A question of Nori: projective generation of ideals. *K-Theory* 28 (2003), no. 4, 329-351.

[BS1] Bhatwadekar, S. M.; Sridharan, Raja Projective generation of curves in polynomial extensions of an affine domain and a question of Nori. *Invent. Math.* 133 (1998), no. 1, 161-192.

[BS2] Bhatwadekar, S. M.; Sridharan, Raja On Euler classes and stably free projective modules. *Algebra, arithmetic and geometry, Part I, II (Mumbai, 2000)*, 139-158, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., 16, *Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay,* 2002.

[BS3] Bhatwadekar, S. M.; Sridharan, Raja The Euler class group of a Noetherian ring. *Compositio Math.* 122 (2000), no. 2, 183-222.

[F] Fasel, Jean On the number of generators of ideals in polynomial rings. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 184 (2016), no. 1, 315-331.

[K] Knus, Max-Albert Quadratic and Hermitian forms over rings. With a foreword by I. Bertuccioni. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 294. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1991. xii+524 pp.

[L] Lindel, Hartmut On the Bass-Quillen conjecture concerning projective modules over polynomial rings. *Invent. Math.* 65 (1981/82), no. 2, 319-323.

[M1] Mandal, Satya Projective modules and complete intersections. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1672. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1997. viii+114 pp.

[M2] Mandal, Satya On the complete intersection conjecture of Murthy. *J. Algebra* 458 (2016), 156-170.

[M3] Mandal, Satya Homotopy of sections of projective modules. With an appendix by Madhav V. Nori. *J. Algebraic Geom.* 1 (1992), no. 4, 639-646.

[MY] Mandal, Satya; Yang, Yong Intersection theory of algebraic obstructions. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 214 (2010), no. 12, 2279-2293.

[MMu] Mandal, Satya; Pavaman Murthy, M. Ideals as sections of projective modules. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* 13 (1998), no. 1, 51-62.

[MM] Satya Mandal and Bibekananda Mishra, The Homotopy Obstructions in Complete Intersections, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1610.07495.pdf

[MV] Mandal, S.; Varma, P. L. N. On a question of Nori: the local case. *Comm. Algebra* 25 (1997), no. 2, 451-457.

[MS] Mandal, Satya; Sridharan, Raja Euler classes and complete intersections. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 36 (1996), no. 3, 453-470.

[Mil] Milnor, John Introduction to algebraic K-theory. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 72. *Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo*, 1971. xiii+184 pp

[Mu] Murthy, M. Pavaman Zero cycles and projective modules. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 140 (1994), no. 2, 405-434.

[MoM] Kumar, N. Mohan; Murthy, M. Pavaman Algebraic cycles and vector bundles over affine three-folds. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 116 (1982), no. 3, 579?591.

[Mk1] Mohan Kumar, N. Stably free modules. *Amer. J. Math.* 107 (1985), no. 6, 1439-1444 (1986).

[Mk2] Mohan Kumar, N. Some theorems on generation of ideals in affine algebras. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 59 (1984), no. 2, 243-252.

[O] Ojanguren, Manuel A splitting theorem for quadratic forms. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 57 (1982), no. 1, 145?157.

[P] Popescu, Dorin Letter to the editor: "General Néron desingularization and approximation" *Nagoya Math. J.* 118 (1990), 45-53.

[R] Roy, Amit Application of patching diagrams to some questions about projective modules. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 24 (1982), no. 3, 313-319.

[St] Steenrod, Norman The Topology of Fibre Bundles. Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 14. *Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.*, 1951. viii+224 pp.

[Sw] Swan, Richard G. K-theory of quadric hypersurfaces. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 122 (1985), no. 1, 113?153.